Expectation Propagation for Scalable Inverse Problems in Imaging

Dan Yao

Heriot-Watt University

19, June, 2023

This talk is about Expectation Propagation (EP)

Problems and Challenges

image inverse problems Bayesian estimation strategy challenges

Approximate Solution by using EP

basic idea of EP

key steps for practical implementation

Real-world Applications of EP







This talk is about Expectation Propagation (EP)

Problems and Challenges

image inverse problems Bayesian estimation strategy challenges

 Approximate Solution by using EP basic idea of EP key steps for practical implementation

- Real-world Applications of EP
- Summary



Problems and Challenges





Problems and Challenges

Bayesian estimation strategy

likelihood for forward model: $f_{y|x}(\boldsymbol{y}|\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{x})$

prior knowledge: $f_x(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$

posterior inference:
$$p(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{f_{y|x}(\boldsymbol{y}|\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{x})f_x(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\int f_{y|x}(\boldsymbol{y}|\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{x})f_x(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})d\boldsymbol{x}}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$$
: $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\text{MAP}} = rg\max_{\boldsymbol{x}} p(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}) \text{ or } \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\text{MMSE}} = \mathbb{E}_p[\boldsymbol{x}]$

uncertainty: $\operatorname{Cov}_p(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbb{E}_p[(\boldsymbol{x} - \mathbb{E}_p[\boldsymbol{x}])(\boldsymbol{x} - \mathbb{E}_p[\boldsymbol{x}])^T]$





Problems and Challenges

- Challenges: high-dimensional unknowns in $oldsymbol{x}$

e.g.
$$\boldsymbol{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_{10000}]^T$$

 $p(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{f_{y|x}(\boldsymbol{y}|\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{x})f_x(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\int f_{y|x}(\boldsymbol{y}|\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{x})f_x(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})d[x_1, \dots, x_{10000}]^T}$
 $\mathbb{E}_p[\boldsymbol{x}] = \int \boldsymbol{x} \ \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y})d[x_1, \dots, x_{10000}]^T$
 $\operatorname{Cov}_p(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int (\boldsymbol{x} - \mathbb{E}_p[\boldsymbol{x}])(\boldsymbol{x} - \mathbb{E}_p[\boldsymbol{x}])^T p(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y})d[x_1, \dots, x_{10000}]^T$



This talk is about Expectation Propagation (EP)

Problems and Challenges
 image inverse problems
 Bayesian estimation strategy
 challenges

Approximate Solution by using EP

basic idea of EP key steps for practical implementation

- Real-world Applications of EP
- Summary



Approximate Solution by using EP



> Computing $\mathbb{E}_{q}[\boldsymbol{x}], \operatorname{Cov}_{q}(\boldsymbol{x})$ could be scalable:





Approximate Solution by using EP

KL divergence: $q(\boldsymbol{x}) = \underset{q(\boldsymbol{x}) \in Q}{\arg \min KL(p(\boldsymbol{x})||q(\boldsymbol{x}))}$ Exponential family: $Q = \{q(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{e}^{T(\boldsymbol{x})^T \boldsymbol{\eta} - A(\boldsymbol{\eta}) + \boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{x})}\}$

moment matching: $\frac{\partial A(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}} = \mathbb{E}_{p}[T(\boldsymbol{x})]$

 $! \mathbb{E}_p[T(\boldsymbol{x})]$ intractable

Key steps for practical implementation: factorization

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}) = p_1(\boldsymbol{x}) p_2(\boldsymbol{x}) \dots p_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \dots$$

 $\mathcal{U} \quad \mathcal{U} \quad \mathcal{U}$
 $q_1(\boldsymbol{x}) q_2(\boldsymbol{x}) \dots q_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \dots = q(\boldsymbol{x})$ difficult » {simple + ... + simple}

$$KL\left(p_1(\boldsymbol{x})q_2(\boldsymbol{x})...q_i(\boldsymbol{x})...q_N(\boldsymbol{x})||\underline{q_1(\boldsymbol{x})}q_2(\boldsymbol{x})...q_i(\boldsymbol{x})...q_N(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \implies \frac{\partial A(\boldsymbol{\eta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}} = \mathbb{E}_{pq}[T(\boldsymbol{x})]$$

Approximate Solution by using EP

factor graph representation
$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{y} = \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{N} f_{y|x}(y_i|\mathbf{h}_i \mathbf{x})}_{\prod_{i=1}^{N} q_i(\mathbf{x})} = \mathbf{x} - \underbrace{f_x(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}_{f_x(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})} \\ \prod_{i=1}^{N} q_i(\mathbf{x}) = \arg\min_{q_0(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{Q}} KL(f(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})q_{\backslash 0}(\mathbf{x})||q_0(\mathbf{x})q_{\backslash 0}(\mathbf{x})) \\ q_1(\mathbf{x}) = \arg\min_{q_i(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{Q}} KL(f(y_1|\mathbf{h}_1 \mathbf{x})q_{\backslash 1}(\mathbf{x})||q_1(\mathbf{x})q_{\backslash 1}(\mathbf{x})) \\ q_2(\mathbf{x}) = \arg\min_{q_i(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{Q}} KL(f(y_2|\mathbf{h}_2 \mathbf{x})q_{\backslash 2}(\mathbf{x})||q_2(\mathbf{x})q_{\backslash 2}(\mathbf{x})) \\ \vdots \\ q_N(\mathbf{x}) = \arg\min_{q_N(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{Q}} KL(f(y_N|\mathbf{h}_N \mathbf{x})q_{\backslash N}(\mathbf{x})||q_N(\mathbf{x})q_{\backslash N}(\mathbf{x})) \end{array}$$

...until convergence
$$q_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \prod_{i=1}^N q_i(\boldsymbol{x}) = q(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx p(\boldsymbol{x})$$

This talk is about Expectation Propagation (EP)

Problems and Challenges
 image inverse problems
 Bayesian estimation strategy
 challenges

 Approximate Solution by using EP basic idea of EP key steps for practical implementation

Real-world Applications of EP

Summary



> application 1 - classical image estimation and uncertainty quantification

blurry observation \boldsymbol{y} grayscale, 165 \times 165 pixels



Gaussian likelihood: $f_{y|x}(\boldsymbol{y}|\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{y};\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{x},\sigma^2)$ Total Variation prior: $f_x(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \propto e^{-\lambda TV(\boldsymbol{x})}$



> application 1 - classical image estimation and uncertainty quantification



EP approximate posterior distribution of the deblurred image $oldsymbol{x}$:

 $q(m{x}) \propto q_1(x) q_{0,1}(m{x}) q_{0,2}(m{x}) q_{0,3}(m{x}) q_{0,4}(m{x}) \propto \mathcal{N}(m{x};m{\mu},m{\Sigma})$



> application 1 - classical image estimation and uncertainty quantification



Dan Yao, Stephen McLaughlin, and Yoann Altmann. "Fast Scalable Image Restoration using Total Variation Priors and Expectation Propagation," IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 2022, 31: 5762-5773.

> **application 2** - robust multispectral unmixing from single-photon Lidar imaging



reference of the scene

anomalies (glue)

multi-spectral Lidar data observation \boldsymbol{y} (190×190 pixels)×33 spectral bands



Poisson likelihood: $f_{y|x}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathcal{P}((1-\boldsymbol{z})\odot\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{z}\odot\boldsymbol{r})$

positive & sparse & Bernoulli priors: $f_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\theta_{\lambda}), f_{r}(\boldsymbol{r}|\theta_{r}), f_{z}(\boldsymbol{z}|\theta_{z})$



> **application 2** - robust multispectral unmixing from single-photon Lidar imaging

multi-spectral Lidar data observation \boldsymbol{y} (190×190 pixels)×33 spectral bands



EP approximate posterior distributions of:

- signal spectral channels: $q(\boldsymbol{u}) \propto q_{u,1}(\boldsymbol{u}) q_{u,0}(\boldsymbol{u}) \propto \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{u}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_u, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_u)$
- anomaly amplitude:
- anomaly appearance :

$$q(\boldsymbol{r}) \propto q_{r,1}(\boldsymbol{r})q_{r,0}(\boldsymbol{r}) \propto \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{r}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_r, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_r)$$

$$q(\boldsymbol{z}) \propto q_{r,1}(\boldsymbol{z})q_{r,0}(\boldsymbol{z}) \propto \boldsymbol{Bern}(\boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{p}_r)$$



> application 2 - robust multispectral unmixing from single-photon Lidar imaging



CPU computational time: 0.3 seconds (per pixel)

14.3 hours



Dan Yao, Stephen McLaughlin, Yoann Altmann, Michael E Davies. "Joint Robust Linear Regression and Anomaly Detection in Poisson noise using Expectation-Propagation", 28th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO). 2021. pp. 2463-2467.

application 3 - joint surface detection and depth estimation from single-photon Lidar imaging



application 3 - joint surface detection and depth estimation from single-photon Lidar imaging

Bayesian model for a single pixel:

likelihood: $f_{y|x}(y_t|d,w) = w\mathcal{N}(y_t;d,s^2) + (1-w)\mathcal{U}(y_t)$ priors: $f_d(d_t) = \mathcal{N}(d_t;m_t,s_t), f_w(w_t) = \mathcal{B}eta(\omega_t;\alpha_t,\beta_t)$ exact posterior: $p(d,w|y_1,...,y_T)$

EP online posterior approximation: $q(d_t, \omega_t | y_t)$



 application 3 - joint surface detection and depth estimation from singlephoton Lidar imaging

single-photon Lidar Time-of-Flight observations \hat{y} (100×50 pixels) × T frames

1000





K. Drummond, D. Yao, A. Pawlikowska, R. Lamb, S. McLaughlin and Y. Altmann. "Efficient joint surface detection and depth estimation of single-photon Lidar data using assumed density filtering", 2022 Sensor Signal Processing for Defence Conference (SSPD), London, United Kingdom, 2022, pp. 1-5.

application 4 - rapid color imaging of moving objects under low-light-level condition using a single-photon avalanche detector (SPAD) array



sequence of SPAD frames observations $oldsymbol{y}$



 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Poisson likelihood: } f_{y|x}(\boldsymbol{y}_t|\boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}_R\boldsymbol{x}_{R,t} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}_G\boldsymbol{x}_{G,t} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}_B\boldsymbol{x}_{B,t} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{b}}) \\ \\ \text{TV prior: } f_x(\boldsymbol{x}_{R,t}), \ f_x(\boldsymbol{x}_{G,t}), \ f_z(\boldsymbol{x}_{B,t}) \end{array}$



> application 4 - rapid low-level-light of moving objects from single-photon color imaging





Dan Yao, Petet W. R. Connolly, Arran J. Sykesy, Yash D. Shan, Claudio Accarino, James Grant, David R. S. Cumming, Gerald S. Buller, Stephen McLaughlin, Yoann Altmann. "*Rapid Single-Photon Color Imaging of Moving Objects*", Optics Express (Under Review).

22/28

> **application 5** - turning SPAD arrays into neuromorphic cameras

bio-inspired imaging and sensing by neuromorphic cameras



1/-1: positive/negative streams









- high temporal resolution
- high dynamic range
- Iow latency

• **application 5** - turning SPAD arrays into neuromorphic cameras



SPAD array







neuromorphic camera https://nusneuromorphic.github.io/



• **application 5** - turning SPAD arrays into neuromorphic cameras

lab experimental setup





by iPhone



by SPAD array





This talk is about Expectation Propagation (EP)

Problems and Challenges
 image inverse problems
 Bayesian estimation strategy
 challenges

 Approximate Solution by using EP basic idea of EP key steps for practical implementation

- Real-world Applications of EP
- Summary



Summary • image inverse problems x image inverse problems y image inverse Problems y \hat{x} + uncertainty quantification

- Approximate solution by using Expectation Propagation
 - $\begin{array}{c} \text{scalable} \\ \mathbb{E}_{q(\boldsymbol{x})}[\boldsymbol{x}] \,\approx\, \mathbb{E}_{p}[\boldsymbol{x}] \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{scalable} \\ \operatorname{Cov}_{q}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\approx\, \operatorname{Cov}_{p}(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{array}$



Some EP references

EP tutorial videos:

- 1. Thomas Minka: Approximate Inference <u>http://videolectures.net/mlss09uk_minka_ai/</u>
- 2. Simon Barthelmé: The Expectation-Propagation algorithm: a tutorial Part 1 https://youtu.be/0tomU1q3AdY

- Homepages:

- 1. Thomas Minka: A roadmap to research on EP <u>https://tminka.github.io/papers/ep/roadmap.html</u>
- 2. Matt Wand: Statistics Methodology and Theory <u>http://matt-wand.utsacademics.info/statsPapers.html</u>
- 3. José Miguel Hernández-Lobato: Scalable methods for approximate inference https://jmhl.org/publications/
- 4. Matthias Seeger, Young-Jun Ko: Scalable variational approximate inference algorithms https://mseeger.github.io/



Thanks for you attention ! Expectation Propagation for Scalable Inverse Problems in Imaging

Dan Yao

Heriot-Watt University

D.Yao@hw.ac.uk

19, June, 2023