Algorithmic Enhancements to Polynomial Matrix Factorisations

Fraser Kenneth Coutts, Stephan Weiss

Material adapted from PhD Thesis of the same name.
Thesis available online via EURASIP's library: `https://tinyurl.com/couttsphd`.

22nd June 2023

Presentation Overview

1. Polynomial Matrix Background & Motivation

2. Polynomial Matrix EVD (PEVD)

3. Existing Methods to Compute the PEVD

4. Algorithmic Improvements to Existing Methods

5. Novel Algorithms and Approaches

6. Conclusion

Summary of Background

- Space-time covariance matrix:
  $\mathbf{R}[\tau] = \mathcal{E}\{\mathbf{x}[n]\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{H}}[n-\tau]\}$

- Matrix of auto- & cross-correlation sequences

- Symmetry $\mathbf{R}[\tau] = \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{H}}[-\tau]$

- Cross-spectral density
  $\boldsymbol{R}(z) = \sum\limits_{\tau} \mathbf{R}[\tau] z^{-\tau}$

  is a polynomial matrix.

- Parahermitian:
  $\boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{P}}(z) = \boldsymbol{R}^{\mathrm{H}}(1/z^*) = \boldsymbol{R}(z)$

Summary of Background

- ▶ $\boldsymbol{R}(z)$ is a matrix with (Laurent) polynomial entries or alternatively a polynomial with matrix-valued coefficients.

- ▶ Can be interpreted as a three-dimensional matrix.

$$\boldsymbol{R}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11}(z) & R_{12}(z) & \cdots & R_{1M}(z) \\ R_{21}(z) & R_{22}(z) & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ R_{M1}(z) & \cdots & \cdots & R_{MM}(z) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_\tau \mathbf{R}[\tau] z^{-\tau}$$

Summary of Background

- Eigenvalue decomposition (EVD) of a Hermitian covariance matrix $\mathbf{R}$ offers optimality for many narrowband problems:
$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{H}} \qquad \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \text{ is diagonal}$$
$$\mathbf{Q} \text{ is unitary, i.e. } \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{H}} = \mathbf{I}$$

- The polynomial matrix EVD (PEVD) is an extension to parahermitian matrices $\boldsymbol{R}(z)$:
$$\boldsymbol{R}(z) \approx \boldsymbol{Q}(z)\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(z)\boldsymbol{Q}^{\mathrm{P}}(z) \qquad \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(z) \text{ is diagonal}$$
$$\boldsymbol{Q}(z) \text{ is paraunitary, } \boldsymbol{Q}(z)\boldsymbol{Q}^{\mathrm{P}}(z) = \mathbf{I}$$

- Diagonalisation of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(z)$ is important for, e.g., decoupling of broadband MIMO systems.

- Polynomial subspace decomposition (i.e. $\boldsymbol{Q}(z)$) used in, e.g., broadband AoA estimation and beamforming.

How to Factorise a Polynomial Matrix?

▶ Iteratively minimise off-diagonal energy in $\boldsymbol{R}(z)$ and collect 'diagonalising' operations in $\boldsymbol{Q}(z)$.

$$\boldsymbol{R}(z) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(z)$$


Energy in 'flattened' parahermitian matrix. White $\Rightarrow$ high energy.

How to Factorise a Polynomial Matrix?

▶ Iteratively minimise off-diagonal energy in $R(z)$ and collect 'diagonalising' operations in $Q(z)$.

$$R(z) \rightarrow \Lambda(z)$$

Energy in 'flattened' parahermitian matrix. White $\Rightarrow$ high energy.

How to Factorise a Polynomial Matrix?

▶ Iteratively minimise off-diagonal energy in $\boldsymbol{R}(z)$ and collect 'diagonalising' operations in $\boldsymbol{Q}(z)$.

$$\boldsymbol{R}(z) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(z)$$

Energy in 'flattened' parahermitian matrix. White $\Rightarrow$ high energy.

How to Factorise a Polynomial Matrix?

▶ Iteratively minimise off-diagonal energy in $\boldsymbol{R}(z)$ and collect 'diagonalising' operations in $\boldsymbol{Q}(z)$.

$$\boldsymbol{R}(z) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(z)$$


Energy in 'flattened' parahermitian matrix. White $\Rightarrow$ high energy.

How to Factorise a Polynomial Matrix?

▶ Iteratively minimise off-diagonal energy in $\boldsymbol{R}(z)$ and collect 'diagonalising' operations in $\boldsymbol{Q}(z)$.

▶ Key performance metric: off-diagonal energy vs execution time.

How to Factorise a Polynomial Matrix?

- ▶ Several iterative PEVD algorithms successfully minimise off-diagonal energy.

- ▶ Most important:

  - ▶ Second order sequential best rotation (SBR2) [1];

  - ▶ Sequential matrix diagonalisation (SMD) [2].

- ▶ SBR2 cheap to implement but can require many iterations.

- ▶ SMD more expensive but can converge in fewer iterations.

---

[1] J. G. McWhirter et al., An EVD Algorithm for Para-Hermitian Polynomial Matrices.
    IEEE Trans. on Signal Process., 55(5):2158–2169, May 2007.
[2] S. Redif et al., Sequential Matrix Diagonalisation Algorithms for Polynomial EVD of Parahermitian Matrices.
    IEEE Trans. on Signal Process., 63(1):81–89, Jan. 2015.

Requirement for Truncation in Iterative PEVD Algorithms

- ▶ The 'shift' operations performed in SBR2 and SMD lead to an increase in the order of the paraunitary matrix $Q(z)$ at **each iteration**.

- ▶ If the order of $Q(z)$ is not restricted in some way, memory usage and computational complexity will scale linearly with the order.

State-of-the-Art Truncation

[Ta *et al.*, ICIS&SP TSP 2007]

- ▶ Used to reduce the polynomial order of the paraunitary $Q(z)$.

State-of-the-Art Truncation

[Ta *et al.*, ICIS&SP TSP 2007]

- ▶ Used to reduce the polynomial order of the paraunitary $\boldsymbol{Q}(z)$.
- ▶ Successively removes the outermost lag of $\boldsymbol{Q}(z)$ with the lowest energy.

State-of-the-Art Truncation

[Ta *et al.*, ICIS&SP TSP 2007]

- ▶ Used to reduce the polynomial order of the paraunitary $Q(z)$.
- ▶ Successively removes the outermost lag of $Q(z)$ with the lowest energy.
- ▶ Lags can be removed from either side of $Q(z)$.

# State-of-the-Art Truncation

[Ta *et al.*, ICIS&SP TSP 2007]

- ▶ Used to reduce the polynomial order of the paraunitary $Q(z)$.
- ▶ Successively removes the outermost lag of $Q(z)$ with the lowest energy.
- ▶ Lags can be removed from either side of $Q(z)$.

PEVD Ambiguity

▶ The paraunitary matrix in the PEVD is not unique.

▶ The same diagonalised parahermitian matrix, $\mathbf{\Lambda}(z)$, can be obtained using different paraunitary $\mathbf{F}(z) = \mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{P}}(z)$,

$$\mathbf{R}(z) \approx \mathbf{F}^{\mathrm{P}}(z)\mathbf{\Lambda}(z)\mathbf{F}(z) = \hat{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathrm{P}}(z)\mathbf{\Lambda}(z)\hat{\mathbf{F}}(z) \quad .$$

▶ Note that polynomial eigenvectors are in the **rows** of $\mathbf{F}(z)$.

▶ Using a modifying matrix, $\mathbf{\Gamma}(z)$, we can go from $\mathbf{F}(z)$ to $\hat{\mathbf{F}}(z)$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{F}}(z) = \mathbf{\Gamma}(z)\mathbf{F}(z) \quad .$$

▶ For the row-shift truncation we simply use,

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}(z) = \mathsf{diag}\{z^{-\tau_1} \ z^{-\tau_2} \ \ldots \ z^{-\tau_M}\} \quad ,$$

which individually delays or advances each of the M rows of $\mathbf{F}(z)$.

Row-Shift Truncation

- ▶ Used to reduce the polynomial order of the paraunitary $\boldsymbol{F}(z)$.

Row-Shift Truncation

- ▶ Used to reduce the polynomial order of the paraunitary $\boldsymbol{F}(z)$.
- ▶ Works the same as the state-of-the-art but applied to each row individually.

Row-Shift Truncation

- ► Used to reduce the polynomial order of the paraunitary $\boldsymbol{F}(z)$.
- ► Works the same as the state-of-the-art but applied to each row individually.
- ► Each row can be truncated by a different amount.

Row-Shift Truncation

- Used to reduce the polynomial order of the paraunitary $\boldsymbol{F}(z)$.
- Works the same as the state-of-the-art but applied to each row individually.
- Each row can be truncated by a different amount.

Row-Shift Truncation

- ▶ Used to reduce the polynomial order of the paraunitary $\boldsymbol{F}(z)$.
- ▶ Works the same as the state-of-the-art but applied to each row individually.
- ▶ Each row can be truncated by a different amount.

Row-Shift Truncation

- ▶ Used to reduce the polynomial order of the paraunitary $\boldsymbol{F}(z)$.
- ▶ Works the same as the state-of-the-art but applied to each row individually.
- ▶ Each row can be truncated by a different amount.

Row-Shift Truncation

- Used to reduce the polynomial order of the paraunitary $\boldsymbol{F}(z)$.
- Works the same as the state-of-the-art but applied to each row individually.
- Each row can be truncated by a different amount.
- Final step aligns rows using $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(z)$.

Requirement for Truncation in Iterative PEVD Algorithms

▶ The 'shift' operations performed in SBR2 and SMD lead to an increase in the order of the paraunitary matrix $\boldsymbol{Q}(z)$ at **each iteration**.

▶ If the order of $\boldsymbol{Q}(z)$ is not restricted in some way, memory usage and computational complexity will scale linearly with the order.

Motivation for my Research

- ▶ Existing iterative algorithms are slow to converge and not feasible to implement — worse for large spatial dimension M.

- ▶ Truncation reduces complexity but introduces error if excessive.

Motivation for my Research

- ▶ Existing iterative algorithms are slow to converge and not feasible to implement — worse for large spatial dimension M.

- ▶ Truncation reduces complexity but introduces error if excessive.

- ▶ Aims:
    - ▶ Develop techniques to lower complexity (and memory requirements) of existing methods.
    - ▶ Design novel, fast algorithms with improved scalability.
    - ▶ Investigate frequency-based methods to compute PEVD.

Algorithmic Improvements

▶ First step: code profiling and optimisation.

Before optimisation

Line Number	Code	Calls	Total Time	% Time	Time Plot
137	[R,H] = DiagZL_slow(R,H);	1000	43.432 s	97.9%	▬
99	[R,H] = DiagZL_slow(R,H);	10	0.449 s	1.0%	∣
121	[R,H,stopcrit] = SMDZL_compMea...	1000	0.208 s	0.5%	

After optimisation

Line Number	Code	Calls	Total Time	% Time	Time Plot
121	[R,H,stopcrit] = SMDZL_compMea...	1000	0.159 s	32.6%	▬
137	[R,H] = DiagZL(R,H);	1000	0.077 s	15.7%	▬
142	[H,PUref] = TruncatePU_2(H,Mu,...	1000	0.066 s	13.5%	▬

Exploiting Parahermitian Matrix Structure

▶ Due to symmetry, the energy in a parahermitian matrix
  $\mathbf{R}[\tau] \circ\!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\bullet \boldsymbol{R}(z)$ is generally concentrated at its centre.

▶ With this knowledge, we investigated:

  ▶ Methods that exploit matrix symmetry to approximately halve
    computational complexity and memory requirements.

  ▶ Ways to focus our efforts on the most important central lags of the
    parahermitian matrix.

Reduced Parahermitian Matrix Representation

▶ By segmenting a parahermitian matrix $\boldsymbol{R}(z)$, we can write

$$\boldsymbol{R}(z) = \boldsymbol{R}^{(-)}(z) + \mathbf{R}[0] + \boldsymbol{R}^{(+)}(z) \ .$$

▶ $\mathbf{R}[0]$ is the zero lag matrix, $\boldsymbol{R}^{(+)}(z)$ contains terms for positive lag elements only, and $\boldsymbol{R}^{(-)}(z) = \boldsymbol{R}^{(+),\mathrm{P}}(z)$.

▶ It is therefore sufficient to record a 'half-matrix' version of $\boldsymbol{R}(z)$.

Reduced Parahermitian Matrix Representation

- ▶ Columns beyond lag zero ($\tau = 0$) have been discarded.

- ▶ Modifications have to be made to the search and shift stages.

- ▶ Both columns **and** rows in the reduced matrix are searched.

- ▶ A 'cyclic shift' approach is employed.

Reduced Parahermitian Matrix Representation

▶ An example of the shift operation is depicted for the case of $\boldsymbol{R}(z) : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{5 \times 5}$ with parameters $k^{(i)} = 2$ and $\tau^{(i)} = -3$.

Reduced Parahermitian Matrix Representation

- An example of the shift operation is depicted for the case of $\boldsymbol{R}(z) : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{5 \times 5}$ with parameters $k^{(i)} = 2$ and $\tau^{(i)} = -3$.
- Shifting procedure:
    1. The row is shifted.

Reduced Parahermitian Matrix Representation

▶ An example of the shift operation is depicted for the case of $\boldsymbol{R}(z) : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{5 \times 5}$ with parameters $k^{(i)} = 2$ and $\tau^{(i)} = -3$.

▶ Shifting procedure:
  1. The row is shifted.
  2. Non-diagonal elements in the $k^{(i)}$th row past lag zero are extracted and parahermitian transposed.

Reduced Parahermitian Matrix Representation

- An example of the shift operation is depicted for the case of $\boldsymbol{R}(z) : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{5 \times 5}$ with parameters $k^{(i)} = 2$ and $\tau^{(i)} = -3$.
- Shifting procedure:
  1. The row is shifted.
  2. Non-diagonal elements in the $k^{(i)}$th row past lag zero are extracted and parahermitian transposed.
  3. These elements are appended to the $k^{(i)}$th column at lag zero and this column is shifted in the opposite direction.

Reduced Parahermitian Matrix Representation

- ▶ Off-diagonal energy versus algorithm execution time for standard SMD algorithm and 'half-matrix' SMD (HSMD) implementation.

- ▶ M is spatial dimension of parahermitian matrix, e.g., number of array elements.

Reduced Parahermitian Matrix Representation

- Approximate resource requirements of standard (SMD) and proposed (HSMD) representations of an $M \times M \times (2N^{(i)} + 1)$ parahermitian matrix at the ith iteration.

Method	Complexity	Storage	Memory Moves
SMD	$4N^{(i)}M^3$	$2N^{(i)}M^2$	$4N^{(i-1)}M$
HSMD	$2N^{(i)}M^3$	$N^{(i)}M^2$	$2N^{(i-1)}M$

- All resource requirements are approximately halved using the proposed approach.

Restricted Update SMD (RU-SMD)

- ▶ Restricting the search space of iterative PEVD algorithms to a subset of lags around lag zero of a parahermitian matrix can bring performance gains. [Corr *et al.*, ISP'15 & Coutts *et al.*, Asilomar SSC'16]

- ▶ The update step of SMD is its most computationally costly operation. [Redif *et al.*, IEEE TSP 2015]

- ▶ The restricted update SMD (RU-SMD) algorithm therefore restricts the search and update spaces of the SMD algorithm.

- ▶ Aim of RU-SMD is to be **less expensive** and **faster** than SMD.

RU-SMD Overview

- ▶ The RU-SMD algorithm computes the PEVD of a parahermitian matrix $\boldsymbol{R}(z)$ over $i = 0 \ldots I$ iterations.

- ▶ RU-SMD has two main steps:
    1. Restricted update: iteratively diagonalise parahermitian matrix while monotonically contracting the search and update space.
    2. Matrix regeneration: regenerate parahermitian matrix when search and update space has maximally contracted.

- ▶ Steps 1 and 2 are repeated for index $\alpha = 0 \ldots \beta$.

- ▶ The space restriction in 1 limits the number of search operations, and reduces the computations required to update the parahermitian matrix.

Restricted Update Approach

- Matrix $\boldsymbol{S}^{(i-1)}(z) = \boldsymbol{R}_{(\alpha)}(z) : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{5 \times 5}$ with maximum lag $\tau_{\max}^{(i)} = 3$ input to restricted update step. Note: $\boldsymbol{R}_{(0)}(z) = \boldsymbol{R}(z)$.
- Treat lags $|\tau| > \tau_{\max}^{(i)}$ as invalid.

Restricted Update Approach

- Shifting of $k^{(i)}$th row and column energy to lag zero from lags $\pm\tau^{(i)}$ ($k^{(i)} = 2$, $\tau^{(i)} = -1$).
- Invalid values from lags $|\tau| > \tau_{\max}^{(i)}$ are shifted towards lag zero.

Restricted Update Approach

- Valid central matrix with maximum lag $(\tau_{\max}^{(i)} - |\tau^{(i)}|) = 2$, $\boldsymbol{S}^{(i)\prime\prime}(z)$, is extracted.
- Lags $|\tau| > (\tau_{\max}^{(i)} - |\tau^{(i)}|)$ are invalid.

Restricted Update Approach

- ▶ Update step: $\boldsymbol{S}^{(i)}(z) = \mathbf{Q}^{(i)}\boldsymbol{S}^{(i)\prime\prime}(z)\mathbf{Q}^{(i),\mathrm{H}}$. Matrix $\mathbf{Q}^{(i)}$ is obtained from EVD of lag zero.

- ▶ Lags $|\tau| > (\tau_{\max}^{(i)} - |\tau^{(i)}|)$ are invalid.

Restricted Update Approach

- Shifting of $k^{(i+1)}$th row and column energy to lag zero from lags $\pm\tau^{(i+1)}$ ($k^{(i+1)} = 3$, $\tau^{(i+1)} = -1$).

- Invalid values from lags $|\tau| > (\tau_{\max}^{(i)} - |\tau^{(i)}|)$ are shifted towards lag zero.

Restricted Update Approach

- Valid central matrix with maximum lag
  $(\tau_{\max}^{(i)} - |\tau^{(i)}| - |\tau^{(i+1)}|) = 1$, $\boldsymbol{S}^{(i+1)''}(z)$, is extracted.
- Lags $|\tau| > (\tau_{\max}^{(i)} - |\tau^{(i)}| - |\tau^{(i+1)}|)$ are invalid.

Restricted Update Approach

- Update step: $\boldsymbol{S}^{(i+1)}(z) = \mathbf{Q}^{(i+1)}\boldsymbol{S}^{(i+1)\prime\prime}(z)\mathbf{Q}^{(i+1),\mathrm{H}}$. Matrix $\mathbf{Q}^{(i+1)}$ is obtained from EVD of lag zero.
- Lags $|\tau| > (\tau_{\max}^{(i)} - |\tau^{(i)}| - |\tau^{(i+1)}|)$ are invalid.


$\tau = -1$
$\tau = 0$
$\tau = 1$

Restricted Update Approach

- Shifting of $k^{(i+2)}$th row and column energy to lag zero from lags $\pm\tau^{(i+2)}$ ($k^{(i+2)} = 4$, $\tau^{(i+2)} = -1$).

- Invalid values from lags $|\tau| > (\tau_{\max}^{(i)} - |\tau^{(i)}| - |\tau^{(i+1)}|)$ are shifted towards lag zero.

Restricted Update Approach

- Valid central matrix with maximum lag
  $(\tau_{\max}^{(i)} - |\tau^{(i)}| - |\tau^{(i+1)}| - |\tau^{(i+2)}|) = 0$, $\boldsymbol{S}^{(i+2)\prime\prime}(z)$, is extracted.
- Lags $|\tau| > (\tau_{\max}^{(i)} - |\tau^{(i)}| - |\tau^{(i+1)}| - |\tau^{(i+2)}|)$ are invalid.

Restricted Update Approach

- Update step: $\boldsymbol{S}^{(i+2)}(z) = \mathbf{Q}^{(i+2)} \boldsymbol{S}^{(i+2)''}(z) \mathbf{Q}^{(i+2),\mathrm{H}}$. Matrix $\mathbf{Q}^{(i+2)}$ is obtained from EVD of lag zero.
- Only zero lag remains: matrix must now be regenerated.

$\tau = 0$

RU-SMD Performance

- ▶ The restricted update process reduces the complexity required at each iteration, but requires a matrix regeneration step.

- ▶ Fortunately, overall algorithm execution time and complexity requirements are reduced.

Summary of Algorithmic Improvements

▶ Improvements to algorithm implementation efficiency.

▶ Half-matrix form for parahermitian matrix.

▶ Restricted update approach.

▶ For the interested reader, see my thesis!

▶ **Problem**: none of these address increased algorithmic complexity (proportional to M^3) as spatial dimension M increases.

'Divide-and-Conquer' (DaC) Approach to the PEVD

- ▶ Traditional PEVD algorithms are tasked with diagonalising an entire $M \times M$ parahermitian matrix via sequential operations.

- ▶ DaC method first 'divides' the matrix into a number of smaller, independent parahermitian matrices, before diagonalising — or 'conquering' — each matrix separately.

- ▶ DaC scheme can substantially reduce PEVD complexity, which is typically proportional to M^3.

'Divide-and-Conquer' (DaC) Approach to the PEVD

- Iteratively minimising energy in red regions yields a block diagonal parahermitian matrix.

- Remaining $\boldsymbol{B}_{11}(z)$ and $\boldsymbol{B}_{22}(z)$ are independent parahermitian matrices and can be diagonalised separately.

(a)   (b)

'Divide-and-Conquer' (DaC) Approach to the PEVD

▶ Several block diagonalisation steps yield a block diagonal matrix.

(a) (b) (c)

(d) (e)

'Divide-and-Conquer' (DaC) Approach to the PEVD

▶ SMD (standard) versus DaC SMD (DC-SMD, proposed).

'Divide-and-Conquer' (DaC) Approach to the PEVD

▶ Divide-and-conquer strategy becomes increasingly useful as spatial dimension M increases.

$$t_{\text{ratio}} = \frac{\mathcal{E}\{\text{Execution time}_{\text{SMD},-10\,\text{dB},M}\}}{\mathcal{E}\{\text{Execution time}_{\text{DC}-\text{SMD},-10\,\text{dB},M}\}} \qquad C_{\text{ratio}} = \frac{\mathcal{E}\{\text{Complexity}_{\text{SMD},-10\,\text{dB},M}\}}{\mathcal{E}\{\text{Complexity}_{\text{DC}-\text{SMD},-10\,\text{dB},M}\}}$$

'Divide-and-Conquer' (DaC) Approach to the PEVD

▶ Power spectral densities of the (a,b) first and (c,d) last four eigenvalues obtained from (a,c) SMD and (b,d) DC-SMD.

'Divide-and-Conquer' (DaC) Approach to the PEVD

- ▶ Independent parahermitian matrices $\Rightarrow$ parallel processing.

- ▶ Task: combine parallelised DaC strategies for the PEVD with developed complexity and memory reduction techniques.

- ▶ **Parallel**-Sequential Matrix Diagonalisation (PSMD).

'Divide-and-Conquer' (DaC) Approach to the PEVD

- Independent parahermitian matrices $\Rightarrow$ parallel processing.

- Task: combine parallelised DaC strategies for the PEVD with developed complexity and memory reduction techniques.

- **Parallel**-Sequential Matrix Diagonalisation (PSMD).

Method	E.val. Res.	MSE	Paraun. Err.	L_Q
SBR2 [1]	1.1305	1.293×10^{-6}	2.448×10^{-8}	133.8
SMD [2]	0.0773	3.514×10^{-6}	6.579×10^{-8}	165.5
DC-SMD	0.0644	6.785×10^{-6}	1.226×10^{-14}	360.4
PSMD[1]	0.0658	6.918×10^{-6}	4.401×10^{-15}	279.3
PSMD[2]	0.0661	8.346×10^{-6}	1.303×10^{-8}	156.0
PSMD[3]	0.0245	7.618×10^{-7}	1.307×10^{-14}	307.6

[1] J. G. McWhirter et al., An EVD Algorithm for Para-Hermitian Polynomial Matrices. *IEEE Trans. on Signal Process.*, 55(5):2158–2169, May 2007.

[2] S. Redif et al., Sequential Matrix Diagonalisation Algorithms for Polynomial EVD of Parahermitian Matrices. *IEEE Trans. on Signal Process.*, 63(1):81–89, Jan. 2015.

[3] F. K. Coutts et al., Efficient Implementation of Iterative Polynomial Matrix EVD Algorithms Exploiting Structural Redundancy and Parallelisation. *IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems*, 66(12):4753–4766, Dec. 2019.

'Divide-and-Conquer' (DaC) Approach to the PEVD

- ▶ Independent parahermitian matrices ⇒ parallel processing.

- ▶ Task: combine parallelised DaC strategies for the PEVD with developed complexity and memory reduction techniques.

- ▶ **Parallel**-Sequential Matrix Diagonalisation (PSMD).

- ▶ MATLAB's Simulink Embedded Coder software used to convert PSMD algorithm to parallelised C-based implementation.

- ▶ Efficient implementations on quad-core Intel i7-4700MQ CPU and quad-core Raspberry Pi 3 Model B+.

'Divide-and-Conquer' (DaC) Approach to the PEVD

- ▶ Independent parahermitian matrices ⇒ parallel processing.

- ▶ Task: combine parallelised DaC strategies for the PEVD with developed complexity and memory reduction techniques.

- ▶ **Parallel**-Sequential Matrix Diagonalisation (PSMD).

- ▶ MATLAB's Simulink Embedded Coder software used to convert PSMD algorithm to parallelised C-based implementation.

- ▶ Efficient implementations on quad-core Intel i7-4700MQ CPU and quad-core Raspberry Pi 3 Model B+.

'Divide-and-Conquer' (DaC) Approach to the PEVD

- ▶ MATLAB's Simulink Embedded Coder software used to convert PSMD algorithm to parallelised C-based implementation.

- ▶ Quad-core Intel i7-4700MQ CPU: parallel implementation 16.4% faster than serial implementation.

PSMD (771733.077)
System (1017050.410)

HRSMD1 (79740.723)   HRSMD4 (52535.875)
HRSMD2 (63042.116)   PSMD_divide (582895.842)
HRSMD3 (57544.391)   System (915395.153)

'Divide-and-Conquer' (DaC) Approach to the PEVD

- ▶ MATLAB's Simulink Embedded Coder software used to convert PSMD algorithm to parallelised C-based implementation.

- ▶ Quad-core Intel i7-4700MQ CPU: parallel implementation 16.4% faster than serial implementation.

- ▶ Quad-core Raspberry Pi 3 Model B+: parallel implementation 19.6% faster than serial implementation.

DFT-Based PEVD Algorithms

- Demonstrated one of the two main categories of PEVD algorithm:

1. Iterative time (lag) based methods.

  - Directly manipulate polynomial coefficients and seek to iteratively diagonalise parahermitian matrix $\boldsymbol{R}(z)$.

  - Encourage spectral majorisation of eigenvalues.

  - Established algorithms: SBR2 [1] and SMD [2].

  - Recent low-complexity, parallelisable, divide-and-conquer algorithm in [3] for large arrays.

[1] J. G. McWhirter et al., An EVD Algorithm for Para-Hermitian Polynomial Matrices. *IEEE Trans. on Signal Process.*, 55(5):2158–2169, May 2007.
[2] S. Redif et al., Sequential Matrix Diagonalisation Algorithms for Polynomial EVD of Paraharmitian Matrices. *IEEE Trans. on Signal Process.*, 63(1):81–89, Jan. 2015.
[3] F. K. Coutts et al., Efficient Implementation of Iterative Polynomial Matrix EVD Algorithms Exploiting Structural Redundancy and Parallelisation. *IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems*, 66(12):4753–4766, Dec. 2019.

DFT-Based PEVD Algorithms

- ▶ However, there is an alternative type of PEVD algorithm:

2. Fixed order frequency based methods.

   - ▶ Transform the problem into a pointwise-in-frequency standard matrix decomposition.

   - ▶ Able to provide a spectrally majorised decomposition, or attempt to approximate maximally smooth, analytic eigenvalues.

   - ▶ Formulation in [4] performs well for finite order problems [5], but requires an a priori guess of the polynomial order of $\boldsymbol{Q}(z)$.

[4] M. Tohidian et al., A DFT-based approximate eigenvalue and singular value decomposition of polynomial matrices. *EURASIP J. Adv. Signal Process.*, 2013:93, December 2013.
[5] F. K. Coutts et al., A Comparison of Iterative and DFT-Based Polynomial Matrix Eigenvalue Decompositions. *In Proc. IEEE 7th Int. Workshop Comp. Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Process.*, Dec. 2017.

Fixed Order Frequency Based Methods

▶ An existing approach obtains an approximate PEVD via K independent EVDs in the discrete frequency domain:

$$\mathbf{R}[k] = \mathbf{Q}[k]\boldsymbol{\Lambda}[k]\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{H}}[k], \ k = 0 \dots K-1,$$

$$\mathbf{R}[k] = \boldsymbol{R}(z)|_{z=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{j}\Omega_k}} = \sum_{\tau} \mathbf{R}[\tau]\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{j}\Omega_k\tau}, \qquad \Omega_k = 2\pi k/K$$

▶ Can rearrange the eigenvalues and -vectors at each frequency bin.

▶ Ambiguity in eigenvector phase leads to discontinuities in phase between eigenvectors in adjacent frequency bins.

▶ Phase alignment step alters phases to minimise discontinuities.

▶ Following the permutation (if desired) and phase alignment of $\mathbf{Q}[k]$, $\mathbf{Q}[\tau]$ and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}[\tau]$ are computed via the inverse DFT.

Fixed Order Frequency Based Methods

- ▶ While analytic polynomial eigenvalues and eigenvectors have been shown to exist as absolutely convergent Laurent series in [6] there is currently no way of knowing the length of the series a priori.

- ▶ When converting $\mathbf{\Lambda}[k]$ and $\mathbf{Q}[k]$ to the lag domain, the order of the IDFT restricts the series' length to K.

- ▶ For unsufficiently large K, this can result in energy from ignored high order polynomial coefficients corrupting the fixed set of K coefficients (i.e., time domain aliasing).

---

[6] S. Weiss et al., On the Existence and Uniqueness of the Eigenvalue Decomposition of a Parahermitian Matrix. *IEEE Trans. on Signal Process.*, 66(10):2659–2672, May 2018.

Proposed Approach Overview

- ▶ We propose an iterative frequency-based scheme:

$$\mathbf{R}[k] = \mathbf{Q}[k]\mathbf{\Lambda}[k]\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{H}}[k], \ k = 0 \dots K_i - 1 \, .$$

- ▶ K_i is increased within the set $K_i \in \{2^{l+i} \, | \, l, i \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \, 2^l > L\}$.

- ▶ L is the polynomial order of $\mathbf{R}(z)$.

- ▶ $i = 0, 1, \dots, I - 1$ records current iteration.

- ▶ Use method for reordering the eigenvalues and -vectors from [4].

- ▶ Use a phase alignment function from [7], which uses Powell's 'dogleg' algorithm [8] to maximise eigenvector smoothness.

- ▶ Iterations continue while decomposition MSE above threshold ϵ.

---

[4] M. Tohidian et al., A DFT-based approximate eigenvalue and singular value decomposition of polynomial matrices. *EURASIP J. Adv. Signal Process.*, 2013:93, December 2013.

[7] F. K. Coutts et al., Enforcing Eigenvector Smoothness for a Compact DFT-based Polynomial Eigenvalue Decomposition. *In Proc. IEEE Workshop on Sensor Array and Multichannel Sig. Process.*, July. 2018.

[8] M. J. D. Powell, A new algorithm for unconstrained optimization. *Nonlinear programming*, 31–65, 1970.

Proposed Approach Overview

- We propose an iterative frequency-based scheme:

$$\mathbf{R}[k] = \mathbf{Q}[k]\mathbf{\Lambda}[k]\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{H}}[k], \; k = 0 \ldots K_i - 1.$$

- K_i is increased within the set $K_i \in \{2^{l+i} \,|\, l, i \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \, 2^l > L\}$.

- In iteration i, $\mathbf{Q}[k]$ and $\mathbf{\Lambda}[k]$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, K_i - 1$ are identical for $k = 0, 2, 4, \ldots, K_{i+1} - 2$ in the $(i+1)$th iteration.

- Phase alignment step exploits this to aid optimisation.

- $\mathbf{Q}[\tau]$ and $\mathbf{\Lambda}[\tau]$ are computed via the inverse DFT following the permutation (if desired) and phase alignment of $\mathbf{Q}[k]$.

- MSE computed between $\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}(z) = \boldsymbol{Q}(z)\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(z)\boldsymbol{Q}^{\mathrm{P}}(z)$ and $\boldsymbol{R}(z)$.

Smooth Decomposition

▶ In a smooth (analytic) decomposition, the eigenvalues and
  -vectors are arranged such that discontinuities between adjacent
  frequency bins are minimised.

Smooth Decomposition

- ▶ In a smooth (analytic) decomposition, the eigenvalues and -vectors are arranged such that discontinuities between adjacent frequency bins are minimised.

- ▶ For a smooth decomposition, the eigenvectors in adjacent frequency bins are rearranged using the inner product

$$\mathsf{c}_{mn}[k] = \mathbf{q}_m^{\mathrm{H}}[k-1]\mathbf{q}_n[k] \ ,$$

  where, $\mathbf{q}_m[k]$ is the mth column of $\mathbf{Q}[k]$.

- ▶ $\mathsf{c}_{mn}[k] \approx 1$ if $\mathbf{q}_m[k-1]$ & $\mathbf{q}_n[k]$ aligned; $\mathsf{c}_{mn}[k] \approx 0$ otherwise.

- ▶ Goal: reorder columns of $\mathbf{Q}[k]$ such that $\mathsf{c}_{mm}[k] \approx 1 \ \forall \ m$.

- ▶ $\mathbf{\Lambda}[k]$ rearranged according to the reordering of the eigenvectors.

Phase Alignment

- ▶ Phase alignment of eigenvectors in adjacent frequency bins is vital for a compact-order decomposition.

- ▶ A matrix $\mathbf{C}^{(P)}$ [7] can be used to calculate the total power in the derivatives of a function up to and including the Pth derivative.
  - ▶ Function 'smoothness' is characterised by a low total power.

- ▶ Phase of the mth eigenvector at frequency bin k can be adjusted by an angle θ_k according to $\mathbf{q}_m[k] \leftarrow e^{\mathrm{j}\theta_k}\mathbf{q}_m[k]$.

- ▶ We compute a vector of phases $\boldsymbol{\theta} = [\theta_0, \cdots, \theta_{K_i-1}]^{\mathrm{T}}$ s.t. the mth eigenvector $\mathbf{q}_m[k] \; \forall \; k$ is maximally smooth.

[7] F. K. Coutts et al., Enforcing Eigenvector Smoothness for a Compact DFT-based Polynomial Eigenvalue Decomposition. *In Proc. IEEE Workshop on Sensor Array and Multichannel Sig. Process.*, July. 2018.

Phase Alignment

- An objective function has been derived in [7] that measures the smoothness of all elements of $\mathbf{q}_m[k]$ and takes the form

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{R}\{\boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{H}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{u}\} \ .$$

- $\boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{H}} = [e^{\mathrm{j}\theta_0}, \cdots, e^{\mathrm{j}\theta_{K_i-1}}]$, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \mathrm{diag}\{\mathbf{v}_n\} \mathbf{C}_{(P)} \mathrm{diag}\{\mathbf{v}_n^{\mathrm{H}}\}$, and $\mathbf{v}_n = [\mathbf{q}_{m,n}[0], \cdots, \mathbf{q}_{m,n}[K_i - 1]]$.

- $\mathbf{q}_{m,n}[k]$ denotes the nth element (row) of eigenvector $\mathbf{q}_m[k]$.

- We employ relatively low cost Powell's iterative 'dogleg' trust region strategy [8] for the unconstrained minimisation of $f(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.

- For $i > 0$, can use previous $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ to give a more informed initial guess.

[7] F. K. Coutts et al., Enforcing Eigenvector Smoothness for a Compact DFT-based Polynomial Eigenvalue Decomposition. *In Proc. IEEE Workshop on Sensor Array and Multichannel Sig. Process.*, July. 2018.
[8] M. J. D. Powell, A new algorithm for unconstrained optimization. *Nonlinear programming*, 31–65, 1970.

DFT-Based PEVD Performance

- ▶ DFT-based PEVD algorithm capable of outperforming existing methods.

- ▶ Suitable for scenarios with a high number of sensors.

- ▶ Example below has $\boldsymbol{R}(z) : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{5\times 5}$ of order 38 with ground truth polynomial eigenvalues that are **not** spectrally majorised.

Method	MSE	Paraun. Err.	E_{diag}	Time / s	L_Q	Complexity
proposed	5.750×10^{-29}	2.887×10^{-22}	0	0.08854	64	$\mathcal{O}\left(ML^3\right)$
SBR2 [1]	1.815×10^{-6}	3.303×10^{-8}	10^{-6}	37.64	600.0	$\mathcal{O}\left(M^2L\right)$
SMD [2]	9.321×10^{-7}	3.847×10^{-8}	10^{-6}	11.34	357.9	$\mathcal{O}\left(M^3L\right)$

[1] J. G. McWhirter et al., An EVD Algorithm for Para-Hermitian Polynomial Matrices.
IEEE Trans. on Signal Process., 55(5):2158–2169, May 2007.
[2] S. Redif et al., Sequential Matrix Diagonalisation Algorithms for Polynomial EVD of Parahermitian Matrices.
IEEE Trans. on Signal Process., 63(1):81–89, Jan. 2015.

DFT-Based PEVD Performance

▶ Decompose the theoretical parahermitian matrix

$$\boldsymbol{R}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & z^{-1}+1 \\ z+1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} .$$

▶ Eigenvectors & eigenvalues are neither of finite order nor rational.

▶ To decompose $\boldsymbol{R}(z)$ via an exact PEVD would require polynomial matrices of infinite length.

Method	MSE	Paraun. Err.	E_{diag}	Time / s	L_Q
proposed	7.077×10^{-9}	1.381×10^{-4}	0	0.1196	64
SMD, μ_1	4.362×10^{-25}	2.466×10^{-16}	10^{-6}	0.6256	345
SMD, μ_2	2.909×10^{-10}	9.546×10^{-8}	10^{-6}	0.1995	83
SBR2	2.909×10^{-10}	9.546×10^{-8}	10^{-6}	0.1724	83

Approximating a minimum-order solution to the PEVD

$$\boldsymbol{R}(z) = \boldsymbol{V}(z)\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(z)\boldsymbol{V}^{\mathrm{P}}(z) \approx \boldsymbol{Q}(z)\boldsymbol{D}(z)\boldsymbol{Q}^{\mathrm{P}}(z)$$
$$\boldsymbol{Q}(z) = \boldsymbol{H}(z)\boldsymbol{U}(z)$$

▶ Task: find all-pass filter bank $\boldsymbol{U}(z) = \mathrm{diag}\{u_1(z), \ldots, u_M(z)\}$.

▶ $u_m(z)$ defined by the greatest common divisor [9] of $\boldsymbol{q}_m(z)$.

[9] F. C. Chang, Polynomial GCD derived through monic polynomial subtractions.
    ISRN Applied Mathematics, 2011.

Angle of Arrival Estimation (AoA)

- ▶ Polynomial MUSIC algorithm requires an accurate estimate of the noise-only subspace.
    - ▶ Accuracy strongly depends on PEVD quality.

- ▶ Each PEVD algorithm will produce a different level of AoA estimation performance.
    - ▶ More PEVD iterations and a longer algorithm runtime will generally increase performance at the cost of decreased flexibility.

- ▶ Divide-and-conquer strategies offer very fast diagonalisation performance, and are able to resolve weaker polynomial eigenvalues.
    - ▶ Does this translate to better AoA estimation performance if simulation time is fixed?

Angle of Arrival Estimation Results

- ▶ 'Divide-and-conquer' (DaC) approach to the PEVD:

- ▶ 6 sources sharing frequency range $\Omega \in [0.1\pi, 0.9\pi]$, $20\,\mathrm{dB}$ SNR.

[1] J. G. McWhirter et al., An EVD Algorithm for Para-Hermitian Polynomial Matrices.
    IEEE Trans. on Signal Process., 55(5):2158–2169, May 2007.
[2] S. Redif et al., Sequential Matrix Diagonalisation Algorithms for Polynomial EVD of Parahermitian Matrices.
    IEEE Trans. on Signal Process., 63(1):81–89, Jan. 2015.

Angle of Arrival Estimation Results

- Frequency-Based PEVD Algorithms:

- 3 sources with different frequency ranges, $20\,\mathrm{dB}$ SNR.

AoA Comparison for DFT-Based and PSMD Algorithms

- ▶ Both algorithms executed for 1.5 seconds
- ▶ $M = 24$
- ▶ 6 sources

AoA Comparison for DFT-Based and PSMD Algorithms

- ▶ Both algorithms executed for 1.5 seconds
- ▶ $M = 24$ sensors
- ▶ 6 sources
- ▶ Evaluated at $\Omega = \pi/2$
- ▶ Similar levels of performance

Summary

- ▶ Summarised existing iterative PEVD algorithms.

- ▶ Introduced some methods used to lower the computational cost of these algorithms.

- ▶ Gave overview of parallelised 'divide-and-conquer' approach to the PEVD and highlighted implementation benefits.

- ▶ Explained DFT-based PEVD approaches and their advantages.

- ▶ Demonstrated the effects of PEVD algorithm choice on AoA estimation results.

- ▶ `fraser.coutts@ed.ac.uk` | `https://tinyurl.com/couttsphd`

Simulation Scenario 3 Zoomed

proposed

Simulation Scenario 3 Zoomed

SMD, μ_1

Simulation Scenario 3 Zoomed

SMD, μ_2

Simulation Scenario 3 Zoomed

Future Work

- Impact of divide-and-conquer algorithms on spectral majorisation.

- Investigate scenarios with 'naturally' (up to permutations) block diagonal matrices.

- Alternative permutation/optimisation strategies for the DFT-based PEVD.

- Minimum-order solutions and impulse response estimation.

- Deploying developed algorithms in the real world.

Future Work

▶ Minimum-order solutions and impulse response estimation:

[9] F. C. Chang, Polynomial GCD derived through monic polynomial subtractions.
ISRN Applied Mathematics, 2011.

[10] S. Weiss et al., Identification of broadband source-array responses from sensor second order statistics.
IEEE Sensor Signal Processing for Defence Conference, Dec. 2017

Future Work

- Minimum-order solutions and impulse response estimation:
  - Cross-spectral density matrix $\boldsymbol{R}_i(z)$ defined by transfer functions, $\boldsymbol{a}_i(z)$, and source power spectral density, $\boldsymbol{S}(z)$:

$$\boldsymbol{R}_i(z) = \boldsymbol{a}_i(z)S(z)\boldsymbol{a}_i^{\mathrm{P}}(z) + \sigma_n^2\mathbf{I}_M \approx \boldsymbol{q}_i(z)d_i(z)\boldsymbol{q}_i^{\mathrm{P}}(z) + \sigma_n^2\mathbf{I}_M$$

$$\boldsymbol{a}_i^{\mathrm{P}}(z)\boldsymbol{a}_i(z) = A_i(z) = A_i^{(+)}(z)A_i^{(+),\mathrm{P}}(z)\,, \qquad \boldsymbol{a}_{i,\mathrm{norm}}(z) = \frac{\boldsymbol{a}_i(z)}{A_i^{(+)}(z)}$$

$$\boldsymbol{R}_i(z) \approx \boldsymbol{a}_{i,\mathrm{norm}}(z)A_i^{(+)}(z)S(z)A_i^{(+),\mathrm{P}}(z)\boldsymbol{a}_{i,\mathrm{norm}}^{\mathrm{P}}(z) + \sigma_n^2\mathbf{I}_M$$

$$\boldsymbol{q}_i(z) = \frac{\boldsymbol{a}_i(z)}{A_i^{(+)}}\,, \qquad d_i(z) = A_i^{(+)}(z)S(z)A_i^{(+),\mathrm{P}}(z)$$

[9] F. C. Chang, Polynomial GCD derived through monic polynomial subtractions.
ISRN Applied Mathematics, 2011.
[10] S. Weiss et al., Identification of broadband source-array responses from sensor second order statistics.
IEEE Sensor Signal Processing for Defence Conference, Dec. 2017

Future Work

▶ Minimum-order solutions and impulse response estimation:

$$\boldsymbol{q}_i(z) = \frac{\boldsymbol{a}_i(z)}{A_i^{(+)}}, \qquad d_i(z) = A_i^{(+)}(z) S(z) A_i^{(+)\mathrm{P}}(z)$$

$$\hat{S}(z) = \mathrm{GCD}\{d_1(z), \ldots, d_I(z)\}$$

▶ Phase ambiguity in $\boldsymbol{q}_i(z) = \hat{\boldsymbol{q}}_i(z) u_i(z)$ can be eliminated through determination of greatest common divisor (GCD) $u_i(z)$ [9].

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_i(z) = \hat{A}_i^{(+)}(z) \hat{\boldsymbol{q}}_i(z)$$

▶ Magnitude **and** phase of transfer functions recovered.

▶ Next problem: identifying GCD of noisy eigenvalues.

[9] F. C. Chang, Polynomial GCD derived through monic polynomial subtractions.
   ISRN Applied Mathematics, 2011.
[10] S. Weiss et al., Identification of broadband source-array responses from sensor second order statistics.
   IEEE Sensor Signal Processing for Defence Conference, Dec. 2017

References I

[1] J. G. McWhirter, P. D. Baxter, T. Cooper, S. Redif, and J. Foster.
An EVD Algorithm for Para-Hermitian Polynomial Matrices.
IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 55(5):2158–2169, May 2007.

[2] S. Redif, S. Weiss, and J. McWhirter.
Sequential matrix diagonalization algorithms for polynomial EVD of parahermitian matrices.
IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 63(1):81–89, January 2015.

[3] F. K. Coutts, I. K. Proudler, and S. Weiss.
Efficient implementation of iterative polynomial matrix evd algorithms exploiting structural redundancy and parallelisation.
IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers, 66(12):4753–4766, Dec. 2019.

[4] M. Tohidian, H. Amindavar, and A. M. Reza.
A DFT-based approximate eigenvalue and singular value decomposition of polynomial matrices.
EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing, 2013(1):1–16, 2013.

[5] F. Coutts, K. Thompson, S. Weiss, and I. Proudler.
A comparison of iterative and DFT-based polynomial matrix eigenvalue decompositions.
In *IEEE 7th International Workshop on Computational Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Processing*, Curacao, December 2017.

[6] S. Weiss, J. Pestana, and I. K. Proudler.
On the existence and uniqueness of the eigenvalue decomposition of a parahermitian matrix.
IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 66(10):2659–2672, May 2018.

[7] F. K. Coutts, K. Thompson, J. Pestana, I. Proudler, and S. Weiss.
Enforcing eigenvector smoothness for a compact DFT-based polynomial eigenvalue decomposition.
In *10th IEEE Workshop on Sensor Array and Multichannel Signal Processing*, pages 1–5, July 2018.

[8] M. Powell.
A new algorithm for unconstrained optimization.
In J. Rosen, O. Mangasarian, and K. Ritter, editors, *Nonlinear Programming*, pages 31–65. Academic Press, 1970.

References II

[9]   F. C. Chang.
      Polynomial GCD derived through monic polynomial subtractions.
      ISRN Applied Mathematics, 2011(714102), 2011.

[10] S. Weiss, N. J. Goddard, S. Somasundaram, I. K. Proudler, and P. A. Naylor.
      Identification of broadband source-array responses from sensor second order statistics.
      In *Sensor Signal Processing for Defence Conference*, pages 1–5, London, UK, December 2017.

[11] C. H. Ta and S. Weiss.
      Shortening the order of paraunitary matrices in SBR2 algorithm.
      In *6th International Conference on Information, Communications & Signal Processing*, pages 1–5, Singapore, Dec.
      2007.

[12] S. Redif, S. Weiss, and J. G. McWhirter.
      An approximate polynomial matrix eigenvalue decomposition algorithm for para-hermitian matrices.
      In *11th IEEE International Symposium on Signal Processing and Information Technology*, pages 421–425, Bilbao,
      Spain, December 2011.

[13] J. Corr, K. Thompson, S. Weiss, I. Proudler, and J. McWhirter.
      Reduced search space multiple shift maximum element sequential matrix diagonalisation algorithm.
      In *IET/EURASIP Intelligent Signal Processing*, London, UK, December 2015.

[14] F. K. Coutts, J. Corr, K. Thompson, S. Weiss, I. Proudler, and J. G. McWhirter.
      Memory and complexity reduction in parahermitian matrix manipulations of PEVD algorithms.
      In *24th European Signal Processing Conference*, Budapest, Hungary, Aug. 2016.

[15] S. Weiss, J. Pestana, I. K. Proudler, and F. K. Coutts.
      Corrections to "on the existence and uniqueness of the eigenvalue decomposition of a parahermitian matrix".
      IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 66(23):6325–6327, Dec 2018.

[16] S. Weiss, I. K. Proudler, F. K. Coutts, and J. Pestana.
      Iterative approximation of analytic eigenvalues of a parahermitian matrix EVD.
      In *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, Brighton, UK, May 2019.

References III

[17] S. Weiss, I. Proudler, F. Coutts, and J. Deeks.
Extraction of analytic eigenvectors from a parahermitian matrix.
In *International Conference on Sensor Signal Processing or Defence*, Edinburgh, UK, 2020.

[18] S. Weiss, I. K. Proudler, and F. K. Coutts.
Eigenvalue decomposition of a parahermitian matrix: extraction of analytic eigenvalues.
IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 69:722–737, 2021.

[19] S. Weiss, I. K. Proudler, G. Barbarino, J. Pestana, and J. G. McWhirter.
On properties and structure of the analytic singular value decomposition.
IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 2023.
to be submitted.

[20] F. Coutts, J. Corr, K. Thompson, S. Weiss, I. Proudler, and J. McWhirter.
Complexity and search space reduction in cyclic-by-row PEVD algorithms.
In *50th Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers*, Pacific Grove, CA, November 2016.

[21] F. Coutts, K. Thompson, S. Weiss, and I. Proudler.
Impact of fast-converging PEVD algorithms on broadband AoA estimation.
In *Sensor Signal Processing for Defence Conference*, pages 1–5, London, UK, December 2017.

[22] F. Coutts, J. Corr, K. Thompson, I. Proudler, and S. Weiss.
Divide-and-conquer sequential matrix diagonalisation for parahermitian matrices.
In *Sensor Signal Processing for Defence Conference*, pages 1–5, London, UK, December 2017.

[23] F. Coutts, J. Corr, K. Thompson, S. Weiss, P. I.K., and J. McWhirter.
Multiple shift QR decomposition for polynomial matrices.
In *IMA International Conference on Mathematics in Signal Processing*, Birmingham, UK, December 2016.

[24] F. Coutts, K. Thompson, I. Proudler, and S. Weiss.
Restricted update sequential matrix diagonalisation for parahermitian matrices.
In *IEEE 7th International Workshop on Computational Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Processing*, Curacao, December 2017.

References IV

[25] F. K. Coutts, K. Thompson, J. Pestana, I. Proudler, and S. Weiss.
      Enforcing eigenvector smoothness for a compact DFT-based polynomial eigenvalue decomposition.
      In *10th IEEE Workshop on Sensor Array and Multichannel Signal Processing*, pages 1–5, July 2018.

[26] F. K. Coutts, K. Thompson, I. K. Proudler, and S. Weiss.
      An iterative dft-based approach to the polynomial matrix eigenvalue decomposition.
      In *52nd Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, and Computers*, pages 1011–1015, Oct 2018.

[27] F. K. Coutts, K. Thompson, S. Weiss, and I. K. Proudler.
      Analysing the performance of divide-and-conquer sequential matrix diagonalisation for large broadband sensor arrays.
      In *2017 IEEE International Workshop on Signal Processing Systems*, pages 1–6, Lorient, France, October 2017.

[28] F. Coutts, J. Corr, K. Thompson, I. Proudler, and S. Weiss.
      Divide-and-conquer sequential matrix diagonalisation for parahermitian matrices.
      In *Sensor Signal Processing for Defence Conference*, pages 1–5, London, UK, December 2017.

[29] F. Coutts, J. Corr, K. Thompson, S. Weiss, P. I.K., and J. McWhirter.
      Multiple shift QR decomposition for polynomial matrices.
      In *IMA International Conference on Mathematics in Signal Processing*, Birmingham, UK, December 2016.

[30] F. Coutts, K. Thompson, I. Proudler, and S. Weiss.
      Restricted update sequential matrix diagonalisation for parahermitian matrices.
      In *IEEE 7th International Workshop on Computational Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Processing*, Curacao, December 2017.

[31] F. K. Coutts, K. Thompson, J. Pestana, I. Proudler, and S. Weiss.
      Enforcing eigenvector smoothness for a compact DFT-based polynomial eigenvalue decomposition.
      In *10th IEEE Workshop on Sensor Array and Multichannel Signal Processing*, pages 1–5, July 2018.

[32] F. K. Coutts, K. Thompson, I. K. Proudler, and S. Weiss.
      An iterative dft-based approach to the polynomial matrix eigenvalue decomposition.
      In *52nd Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, and Computers*, pages 1011–1015, Oct 2018.