

Recent advances in multi-object estimation

Emmanuel D. Delande E.D.Delande@hw.ac.uk

Engineering & Physical Sciences Heriot-Watt University Edinburgh, UK

UDRC Summer School - July 21, 2015 @ University of Surrey, UK



Delande (H-W U)

Stochastic populations

July 21, 2015 1 / 35

(ロ) (日) (日) (日) (日)



2 Traditional solutions: strengths and weaknesses

- The track-based approach
- The RFS-based approach

③ Stochastic populations for multi-object filtering

- Multi-object estimation framework
- Bayesian filtering
- Closed-loop sensor management

1 Multi-object filtering framework: basics

- 2 Traditional solutions: strengths and weaknesses
- 3 Stochastic populations for multi-object filtering

æ

Bayesian filtering

Principle



- $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_t}$: "information" known by operator at time t on objects of interest or targets
- Z_t : observations produced by the sensor system at time t and collected by the operator

What is a sensor, from a tracking persective?

What is a sensor, from a tracking persective?

• On top of the detection level: produces a set of observations Z_t at each time t

What is a sensor, from a tracking persective?

- On top of the detection level: produces a set of observations Z_t at each time t
- Known by the operator through a *stochastic description*

What is a sensor, from a tracking persective?

- On top of the detection level: produces a set of observations Z_t at each time t
- $\bullet\,$ Known by the operator through a $stochastic\,\, description\,$

Stochastic description

Likelihood $\ell_t(z, x)$: how likely is obs. z to come from a target with state x?



What is a sensor, from a tracking persective?

- On top of the detection level: produces a set of observations Z_t at each time t
- $\bullet\,$ Known by the operator through a $stochastic\,\, description\,$

Stochastic description

Likelihood $\ell_t(z, x)$: how likely is obs. z to come from a target with state x? Probability of detection $p_{d,t}(x)$: how likely

is a target with state x to be detected?



July 21, 2015 5 / 35

What is a sensor, from a tracking persective?

- On top of the detection level: produces a set of observations Z_t at each time t
- $\bullet\,$ Known by the operator through a $stochastic\,\, description\,$

Stochastic description

Likelihood $\ell_t(z, x)$: how likely is obs. z to come from a target with state x?

Probability of detection $p_{d,t}(x)$: how likely is a target with state x to be detected?

Probability of false alarm $p_{fa,t}(z)$: how likely is the sensor to produce a false alarm with state z?



・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・・

Sensor system for target tracking (cont.)

æ

Sensor system for target tracking (cont.)

 \mathbf{Z}_t

æ

Sensor system for target tracking (cont.)



• *Discrete* observation space \mathbf{Z}_t

 \mathbf{Z}_t

æ

Sensor system for target tracking (cont.)



- *Discrete* observation space \mathbf{Z}_t
- *Localized* false alarm process: at most one false alarm, per cell and per scan

Sensor system for target tracking (cont.)



- *Discrete* observation space \mathbf{Z}_t
- *Localized* false alarm process: at most one false alarm, per cell and per scan

(ロ) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• In each cell $z \in \mathbf{Z}_t$, false alarm occurs with probability $p_{\mathrm{fa},t}(z)$

 \mathbf{Z}_t

Sensor system for target tracking (cont.)



- *Discrete* observation space \mathbf{Z}_t
- *Localized* false alarm process: at most one false alarm, per cell and per scan
- In each cell $z \in \mathbf{Z}_t$, false alarm occurs with probability $p_{\mathrm{fa},t}(z)$



• **Z**_t projected onto **X** shapes the sensor field of view (FoV)

Sensor system for target tracking (cont.)



- *Discrete* observation space \mathbf{Z}_t
- *Localized* false alarm process: at most one false alarm, per cell and per scan
- In each cell $z \in \mathbf{Z}_t$, false alarm occurs with probability $p_{\mathrm{fa},t}(z)$

- \mathbf{Z}_t projected onto \mathbf{X} shapes the sensor field of view (FoV)
- Outside of the sensor FoV, $p_{d,t}$ is always zero (i.e. no target detection)



Multi-object filtering: common assumptions

Common assumptions (time t)

- 1. Targets behave independently
- 2. Observations are produced independently
- 3. At most one observation per target (if none, target is *miss-detected*)
- 4. At most one target per observation (if none, obs. is a *false alarm*)

Multi-object filtering: common assumptions

Common assumptions (time t)

- 1. Targets behave independently
- 2. Observations are produced independently
- 3. At most one observation per target (if none, target is *miss-detected*)
- 4. At most one target per observation (if none, obs. is a *false alarm*)

The assumptions above...

- 1. ... simplify the estimation problem (notably the data association)
- 2. ... will be used in the context of this presentation
- 3. ... are *not* necessary in the general multi-object estimation framework

1 Multi-object filtering framework: basics

2 Traditional solutions: strengths and weaknesses

- The track-based approach
- The RFS-based approach

3 Stochastic populations for multi-object filtering

Delande (H-W U)

Stochastic populations

July 21, 2015 8 / 35

(ロ) (日) (日) (日) (日)

General principle

"A potential target = one track."

General principle

"A potential target = one track."

Track representation

x			

General principle

"A potential target = one track."

Track representation

y	

A track y is...

• ... *identified* by its state distribution

(ロ) (日) (日) (日) (日)

General principle

"A potential target = one track."

Track representation

y
0

- A track y is...
 - ... *identified* by its state distribution (e.g. mean

General principle

"A potential target = one track."

Track representation

$\sim $	

A track y is...

• ... *identified* by its state distribution (e.g. mean + covariance)

(ロ) (日) (日) (日) (日)

General principle

"A potential target = one track."

Track representation



A track y is...

• ... *identified* by its state distribution (e.g. mean + covariance)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

• ... *described* by its history of past estimates

General principle

"A potential target = one track."

Track representation



A track y is...

- ... *identified* by its state distribution (e.g. mean + covariance)
- ... *described* by its history of past estimates
- ... *characterised* by its observation path

Data association



æ



æ



æ



æ



æ









・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨ


・ロト ・日下・ ・ヨト・・



・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト・



・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト・



What is propagated to the next step?

Delande (H-W U)

Stochastic populations

July 21, 2015 10 / 35



What is propagated to the next step?

All configurations, with associated probabilities \rightarrow MHT filter

July 21, 2015 10 / 35



What is propagated to the next step?

All configurations, with associated probabilities \rightarrow MHT filter A weighted combination of all configurations \rightarrow JPDA filter

Delande (H-W U)

Stochastic populations

July 21, 2015 10 / 35

Delande (H-W U)

Stochastic populations

July 21, 2015 11 / 35

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

Since tracks are created upon detections, how to model *yet-to-be-detected* targets?

Since tracks are created upon detections, how to model *yet-to-be-detected* targets?

Sensor management problem: explore B_1 or B_2 ?



Since tracks are created upon detections, how to model *yet-to-be-detected* targets?

Sensor management problem: explore B_1 or B_2 ?



Since tracks are created upon detections, how to model *yet-to-be-detected* targets?



Sensor management problem: explore B_1 or B_2 ?

Suppose prior information on target *population* in B_1 and B_2 is available

Since tracks are created upon detections, how to model *yet-to-be-detected* targets?



Sensor management problem: explore B_1 or B_2 ?

Suppose prior information on target population in B_1 and B_2 is available

How many tracks to create? Where?

Track creation/deletion

• When to create tracks?

Track creation/deletion

• When to create tracks? For every new observation?

Track creation/deletion

• When to create tracks? For every new observation? For a sequence of "close" unassociated observations?

- When to create tracks? For every new observation? For a sequence of "close" unassociated observations?
- When to delete tracks?

- When to create tracks? For every new observation? For a sequence of "close" unassociated observations?
- When to delete tracks? If miss-detected n times during the m last time steps?

- When to create tracks? For every new observation? For a sequence of "close" unassociated observations?
- When to delete tracks? If miss-detected n times during the m last time steps? If getting away from the surveillance scene?

- When to create tracks? For every new observation? For a sequence of "close" unassociated observations?
- When to delete tracks? If miss-detected n times during the m last time steps? If getting away from the surveillance scene?
- Why deleting a track?

Track creation/deletion

- When to create tracks? For every new observation? For a sequence of "close" unassociated observations?
- When to delete tracks? If miss-detected n times during the m last time steps? If getting away from the surveillance scene?
- Why deleting a track?



Because it is "unlikely" to represent a target?

- When to create tracks? For every new observation? For a sequence of "close" unassociated observations?
- When to delete tracks? If miss-detected n times during the m last time steps? If getting away from the surveillance scene?
- Why deleting a track?



æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Can handle spatial information on tracks "optimally"

- Data association allows optimal single-observation/single-track update (e.g. Kalman filter)
- History of past estimates and observation path naturally maitained

Can handle spatial information on tracks "optimally"

- Data association allows optimal single-observation/single-track update (e.g. Kalman filter)
- History of past estimates and observation path naturally maitained

Lacks probabilistic framework incorporating all system uncertainties

- When to create a track, especially in high clutter environment?
- When and why to delete a track (i.e. what is an "unlikely" track?)

Can handle spatial information on tracks "optimally"

- Data association allows optimal single-observation/single-track update (e.g. Kalman filter)
- History of past estimates and observation path naturally maitained

Lacks probabilistic framework incorporating all system uncertainties

- When to create a track, especially in high clutter environment?
- When and why to delete a track (i.e. what is an "unlikely" track?)

Lacks natural description on *collective* rather than *individual* level

- Populations of "non-separable" targets (e.g. those yet-to-be-detected) not easily described
- Regional statistics (e.g. mean, variance in target number) unavailable

General principle

"The population of potential targets = one random finite set (RFS)."

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト・

General principle

"The population of potential targets = one random finite set (RFS)."

RFS representation



The target RFS Ξ ...

• ... is a random object describing *all* the targets in the scene

・ロト ・日下・・日下

July 21, 2015 14 / 35

General principle

"The population of potential targets = one random finite set (RFS)."

RFS representation



The target RFS Ξ ...

- ... is a random object describing all the targets in the scene
- ... whose realizations $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ describe potential multi-target configurations

Image: A math and A

X

General principle

"The population of potential targets = one random finite set (RFS)."

RFS representation



The target RFS Ξ ...

- ... is a random object describing *all* the targets in the scene
- ... whose realizations $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ describe potential multi-target configurations

General principle

"The population of potential targets = one random finite set (RFS)."

RFS representation



The target RFS Ξ ...

- ... is a random object describing *all* the targets in the scene
- ... whose realizations $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ describe potential multi-target configurations
- ... is described by probability distribution P_{Ξ}

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

First-moment density μ_{Ξ}

Approximate description of RFS Ξ

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト



First-moment density μ_{Ξ}



Approximate description of RFS \equiv Propagated in usual RFS filters (PHD, CPHD)

First-moment density μ_{Ξ}



Approximate description of RFS \equiv Propagated in usual RFS filters (PHD, CPHD) Provides average number of target per

volume space, acc. to RFS Ξ

A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

First-moment density μ_{Ξ}



Data update

Approximate description of RFS \equiv Propagated in usual RFS filters (PHD, CPHD)

Provides average number of target per volume space, acc. to RFS Ξ
First-moment density μ_{Ξ}



Approximate description of RFS \equiv Propagated in usual RFS filters (PHD, CPHD) Provides average number of target per

Provides average number of target per volume space, acc. to RFS Ξ



First-moment density μ_{Ξ}



Approximate description of RFS \equiv Propagated in usual RFS filters (PHD, CPHD)

Provides average number of target per volume space, acc. to RFS Ξ





First-moment density μ_{Ξ}



Approximate description of RFS \equiv Propagated in usual RFS filters (PHD, CPHD)

Provides average number of target per volume space, acc. to RFS Ξ





First-moment density μ_{Ξ}



Approximate description of RFS \equiv Propagated in usual RFS filters (PHD, CPHD)

Provides average number of target per volume space, acc. to RFS Ξ





(日) (四) (王) (王) (王)

No equivalent of track history: what are the consequences?



Delande (H-W U)

Stochastic populations

▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ ∽ Q (~ July 21, 2015 <u>16 / 35</u>

・ロト ・日下・・日下

No equivalent of track history: what are the consequences?



æ

・ロト ・日下・・日下

No equivalent of track history: what are the consequences?



æ

・ロト ・日下・・日下

No equivalent of track history: what are the consequences?



æ

Image: A math a math

No equivalent of track history: what are the consequences?



July 21, 2015 16 / 35

æ

・ロト < 回ト < 三</p>

No equivalent of track history: what are the consequences?



No equivalent of track history: what are the consequences?



Delande (H-W U)

Stochastic populations

July 21, 2015 16 / 35

No equivalent of track history: what are the consequences?



Stochastic populations

July 21, 2015 16 / 35

æ

E

Delande (H-W U)

No equivalent of track history: what are the consequences?



Stochastic populations

July 21, 2015

16 / 35

No equivalent of track history: what are the consequences?



No inherent solution to link individuals from successive populations Introducing labelling on top of RFS framework recently explored (Labeled Multi-Bernoulli filter, Vo et al.)

A D >
A D >
A

E

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Provides probability framework incorporating all system uncertainties

- Appearing targets, yet-to-be-detected targets, false alarms, etc. described by RFSs
- No need for track creation/deletion, for data association
- Regional statistics (e.g. mean, variance in target number) naturally available

A B A B A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

Provides probability framework incorporating all system uncertainties

- Appearing targets, yet-to-be-detected targets, false alarms, etc. described by RFSs
- No need for track creation/deletion, for data association
- Regional statistics (e.g. mean, variance in target number) naturally available

Lacks natural description on *individual* rather than *collective* level

- Each observation influences the spatial distribution of the whole population (i.e. no "optimal" single-observation/single-target update)
- Track histories or observation paths unavailable (unless through heuristics)

Provides probability framework incorporating all system uncertainties

- Appearing targets, yet-to-be-detected targets, false alarms, etc. described by RFSs
- No need for track creation/deletion, for data association
- Regional statistics (e.g. mean, variance in target number) naturally available

Lacks natural description on *individual* rather than *collective* level

- Each observation influences the spatial distribution of the whole population (i.e. no "optimal" single-observation/single-target update)
- Track histories or observation paths unavailable (unless through heuristics)

Lacks intuitive interpretation (on some points)

• Poisson (resp. i.i.d.) approximation in PHD (resp. CPHD) filter

- 1 Multi-object filtering framework: basics
- 2 Traditional solutions: strengths and weaknesses
- 3 Stochastic populations for multi-object filtering
 - Multi-object estimation framework
 - Bayesian filtering
 - Closed-loop sensor management

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Estimation framework for stochastic populations

General principle

"A potential target is represented by a specific amount of information: not too little, but *not too much either*."

Estimation framework for stochastic populations

General principle

"A potential target is represented by a specific amount of information: not too little, but *not too much either*."

Outline

- Well-defined probabilistic framework, developed by J. Houssineau (supervisor: D. Clark)
- \bullet Level of description depends whether individual is distinguishable or indistinguishable
- Ongoing developments beyond tracking (e.g. sensor management, sensor calibration, performance assessment, ...)

Target distinguishability



 \mathbf{X}

Delande (H-W U)

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Target distinguishability



Indistinguishable target:

- *unidentified* member of larger population
- no specific information available
- e.g., "one of the potential individuals that entered 10 time steps ago and has not been detected yet"

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

Target distinguishability



Indistinguishable target:

- *unidentified* member of larger population
- no specific information available
- e.g., "one of the potential individuals that entered 10 time steps ago and has not been detected yet"

Distinguishable target:

- individual *characterised* by specific information
- e.g., "the potential individual that entered 10 time steps ago and produced observations z_{21}^3 , z_{25}^1 "

Spatial distribution and "empty state" ψ

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト・

Spatial distribution and "empty state" ψ

 p_t^y

What is the target state?

Delande (H-W U)

э

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト・



・ロト ・日ト・ ・ヨト



・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト



Distinguishable target and associated track



Distinguishable target and associated track

• First detection triggers distinguishability



Distinguishable target and associated track

- First detection triggers distinguishability
- Associated track y describes observation path and current info on target state





Distinguishable target and associated track

- First detection triggers distinguishability
- Associated track y describes observation path and current info on target state
- Usual notion of track, except probability of absence $p_t^y(\{\psi\})$





Distinguishable target and associated track

- First detection triggers distinguishability
- Associated track y describes observation path and current info on target state
- Usual notion of track, except probability of absence $p_t^y(\{\psi\})$

Note: $1 - p_t^y(\{\psi\})$ does *not* assess track credibility, but its presence in the scene



Population management: which are the true targets?

Joint probability of existence

Assesses *joint* existence of targets:



・ロト ・日ト・ ・ヨト

Population management: which are the true targets?

Joint probability of existence





• $P(\emptyset, 0)$

Delande (H-W U)

Stochastic populations

July 21, 2015 22 / 35

・ロン ・日ン ・ヨン・
Joint probability of existence



Assesses *joint* existence of targets:

•
$$P(\emptyset, 0)$$

• $P(\{y\},1)$

・ロン ・日ン ・ヨン・

Joint probability of existence



Assesses *joint* existence of targets:

- $P(\emptyset, 0)$
- $P(\{y\}, 1)$
- $P(\{y, y'\}, 2)$

Delande (H-W U)

э

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト・

Joint probability of existence



Assesses *joint* existence of targets:

- $P(\emptyset, 0)$
- $\bullet \ P(\{y\},1)$
- $P(\{y, y'\}, 2)$
- $P(\emptyset, 1)$

• . . .

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

Joint probability of existence



Assesses *joint* existence of targets:

- $P(\emptyset, 0)$
- $P(\{y\}, 1)$
- $P(\{y, y'\}, 2)$
- $P(\emptyset, 1)$

At time t:

• Set of tracks Y_t , m_t populations of indistinguishable targets

		《曰》《御》《철》《철》 철	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Delande (H-W U)	Stochastic populations	July 21, 2015	22 / 35

Joint probability of existence



Assesses *joint* existence of targets:

- $P(\emptyset, 0)$
- $P(\{y\}, 1)$
- $P(\{y, y'\}, 2)$
- $P(\emptyset, 1)$

At time t:

• Set of tracks Y_t , m_t populations of indistinguishable targets

•
$$\sum_{Y \subseteq Y_t} \sum_{n_1 \ge 0} \cdots \sum_{n_{m_t} \ge 0} P_t(Y, n_1, \dots, n_{m_t}) = 1$$

Delande (H-W U)

・ロン ・日ン ・ヨン・

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三)

Elementary events



・ロン ・日ン ・ヨン・

Elementary events



・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト

Elementary events



Probability that:

• y exists and lies within B, and

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト

Elementary events



Probability that:

- y exists and lies within B, and
- y' exists, and

Delande (H-W U)

Stochastic populations

July 21, 2015 23 / 35

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

Elementary events



Probability that:

- y exists and lies within B, and
- y' exists, and
- one undetected targets in *B*, one in *B'*, none elsewhere.

Elementary events



・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト

Elementary events



- Probability that:
 - y does not exist, and

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト

Elementary events



Probability that:

- y does not exist, and
- y' exists, but has left the scene, and

Elementary events



Probability that:

- y does not exist, and
- y' exists, but has left the scene, and

• two undetected targets in B, none elsewhere.

Elementary events



Compound events

Probability that:

- y does not exist, and
- y' exists, but has left the scene, and
- two undetected targets in *B*, none elsewhere.

Elementary events



Probability that:

- y does not exist, and
- y' exists, but has left the scene, and
- two undetected targets in *B*, none elsewhere.

Compound events

• Probability that there are $at \ least$ two undetected targets in B

Elementary events



Probability that:

- y does not exist, and
- y' exists, but has left the scene, and
- two undetected targets in *B*, none elsewhere.

Compound events

- Probability that there are $at \ least$ two undetected targets in B
- Probability that either y exists or y' has left the scene, and there are no undetected targets in the scene

Elementary events



Probability that:

- y does not exist, and
- y' exists, but has left the scene, and
- two undetected targets in *B*, none elsewhere.

Compound events

- Probability that there are $at \ least$ two undetected targets in B
- Probability that either y exists or y' has left the scene, and there are no undetected targets in the scene

•

Principle



Maintain and update a dynamical stochastic population reflecting the true multi-target configuration

Stochastic populations for multi-object filtering Bayesia

Bayesian filtering

Bayesian filtering with stochastic populations (1/2)

Principle

Del



Maintain and update a dynamical stochastic population reflecting the true multi-target configuration

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

Filtering design: a few modelling choices

Principle



Maintain and update a dynamical stochastic population reflecting the true multi-target configuration

Filtering design: a few modelling choices



• • • • • • • • • • • • •



Principle



Maintain and update a dynamical stochastic population reflecting the true multi-target configuration

Filtering design: a few modelling choices



• How to represent incoming targets at time *t*? One ind. population?

• • • • • • • • • • •

Principle



Maintain and update a dynamical stochastic population reflecting the true multi-target configuration

Filtering design: a few modelling choices



• How to represent incoming targets at time t? One ind. population? Two?

Principle



Maintain and update a dynamical stochastic population reflecting the true multi-target configuration

Filtering design: a few modelling choices



• How to represent incoming targets at time *t*? One ind. population? Two?

A = A = A = A = A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

• How to mitigate information loss?

Principle



Maintain and update a dynamical stochastic population reflecting the true multi-target configuration

Filtering design: a few modelling choices



• How to represent incoming targets at time t? One ind. population? Two?

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

• How to mitigate information loss? Merge "close" ind. populations?

Principle



Maintain and update a dynamical stochastic population reflecting the true multi-target configuration

Filtering design: a few modelling choices



• How to represent incoming targets at time *t*? One ind. population? Two?

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

• How to mitigate information loss? Merge "close" ind. populations?

Principle



Maintain and update a dynamical stochastic population reflecting the true multi-target configuration

Filtering design: a few modelling choices



• How to represent incoming targets at time *t*? One ind. population? Two?

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

• How to mitigate information loss? Merge "close" ind. populations?

Principle



Maintain and update a dynamical stochastic population reflecting the true multi-target configuration

Filtering design: a few modelling choices



• How to represent incoming targets at time *t*? One ind. population? Two?

• How to mitigate information loss? Merge "close" ind. populations? Merge "close" tracks?

Principle



Maintain and update a dynamical stochastic population reflecting the true multi-target configuration

Filtering design: a few modelling choices



• How to represent incoming targets at time *t*? One ind. population? Two?

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

• How to mitigate information loss? Merge "close" ind. populations? Merge "close" tracks?

Bayesian filtering with stochastic populations (1/2)

Principle



Maintain and update a dynamical stochastic population reflecting the true multi-target configuration

Filtering design: a few modelling choices



• How to represent incoming targets at time *t*? One ind. population? Two?

• How to mitigate information loss? Merge "close" ind. populations? Merge "close" tracks?

Principle



Maintain and update a dynamical stochastic population reflecting the true multi-target configuration

Filtering design: a few modelling choices



• How to represent incoming targets at time *t*? One ind. population? Two?

• How to mitigate information loss? Merge "close" ind. populations? Merge "close" tracks?

"Blend" a track *into* a "close" ind. population?

Principle



Maintain and update a dynamical stochastic population reflecting the true multi-target configuration

Filtering design: a few modelling choices



• How to represent incoming targets at time *t*? One ind. population? Two?

• How to mitigate information loss? Merge "close" ind. populations? Merge "close" tracks?

"Blend" a track *into* a "close" ind. population?

Principle



Maintain and update a dynamical stochastic population reflecting the true multi-target configuration

Filtering design: a few modelling choices



• How to represent incoming targets at time *t*? One ind. population? Two?

• How to mitigate information loss? Merge "close" ind. populations? Merge "close" tracks?

"Blend" a track *into* a "close" ind. population?

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Bayesian filtering

Bayesian filtering with stochastic populations (2/2)

Prediction step



Stochastic populations

Delande (H-W U)

July 21, 2015 25 / 35

・ロン ・日ン ・ヨン・
Prediction step

• Time update of spatial distributions



・ロン ・日ン ・ヨン・

Prediction step

- Time update of spatial distributions
- Single-object time update \rightarrow KF, EKF, UKF, SMC...



・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト

Prediction step

- Time update of spatial distributions
- Single-object time update \rightarrow KF, EKF, UKF, SMC...
- No additional observations → no update of joint probabilities of existence



Prediction step

- Time update of spatial distributions
- Single-object time update \rightarrow KF, EKF, UKF, SMC...
- No additional observations → no update of joint probabilities of existence



Data update step

Prediction step

- Time update of spatial distributions
- Single-object time update \rightarrow KF, EKF, UKF, SMC...
- No additional observations \rightarrow *no update* of joint probabilities of existence



Data update step



• Data association:

Update of joint probabilities of existence Pop. management (indist. \rightarrow tracks, etc.)

Prediction step

- Time update of spatial distributions
- Single-object time update \rightarrow KF, EKF, UKF, SMC...
- No additional observations \rightarrow *no update* of joint probabilities of existence



Data update step



• Data association:

Update of joint probabilities of existence Pop. management (indist. \rightarrow tracks, etc.)

• Data update of spatial distributions

Prediction step

- Time update of spatial distributions
- Single-object time update \rightarrow KF, EKF, UKF, SMC...
- No additional observations \rightarrow *no update* of joint probabilities of existence



Data update step



• Data association:

Update of joint probabilities of existence Pop. management (indist. \rightarrow tracks, etc.)

- Data update of spatial distributions
- Single-object data update: \rightarrow KF, EKF, UKF, SMC...

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト・

Assumptions on the incoming targets, at every time step t:

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

Assumptions on the incoming targets, at every time step t:

1. Described by a *single* indistinguishable population

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

Assumptions on the incoming targets, at every time step t:

- 1. Described by a *single* indistinguishable population
- 2. Detected at once (i.e., they become immediately distinguishable)

Assumptions on the incoming targets, at every time step t:

- 1. Described by a *single* indistinguishable population
- 2. Detected at once (i.e., they become immediately distinguishable)



A B A B A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

Assumptions on the incoming targets, at every time step t:

- 1. Described by a *single* indistinguishable population
- 2. Detected at once (i.e., they become immediately distinguishable)



Assumptions on the incoming targets, at every time step t:

- 1. Described by a *single* indistinguishable population
- 2. Detected at once (i.e., they become immediately distinguishable)



Practical considerations

• No propagated information on yet-to-be-detected targets

Assumptions on the incoming targets, at every time step t:

- 1. Described by a *single* indistinguishable population
- 2. Detected at once (i.e., they become immediately distinguishable)



- No propagated information on yet-to-be-detected targets
- $\bullet\,$ Full data association $\rightarrow\,$ information on every possible track is maintained

Assumptions on the incoming targets, at every time step t:

- 1. Described by a *single* indistinguishable population
- 2. Detected at once (i.e., they become immediately distinguishable)



- No propagated information on yet-to-be-detected targets
- $\bullet\,$ Full data association $\rightarrow\,$ information on every possible track is maintained
- High computational cost (\approx MHT) \rightarrow parallel implementation ongoing

Assumptions on the incoming targets, at every time step t:

- 1. Described by a *single* indistinguishable population
- 2. Detected at once (i.e., they become immediately distinguishable)



- No propagated information on yet-to-be-detected targets
- Full data association \rightarrow information on every possible track is maintained
- High computational cost (\approx MHT) \rightarrow parallel implementation ongoing
- Approximate DISP: HISP, similar cost to PHD but better tracking performances (early analysis)

Closed-loop sensor management: principle

æ

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Closed-loop sensor management: principle

• U_t : pool of available *actions* at time t

3

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Closed-loop sensor management

Closed-loop sensor management: principle

- U_t : pool of available *actions* at time t
- $u_i \in U_t$: describes a specific sensor (i.e. $\ell_{u_i}, p_{d,u_i}, p_{fa,u_i}, \mathbf{Z}_{u_i}$)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Closed-loop sensor management: principle

- U_t : pool of available *actions* at time t
- $u_i \in U_t$: describes a specific sensor (i.e. $\ell_{u_i}, p_{d,u_i}, p_{fa,u_i}, \mathbf{Z}_{u_i}$)
- Question: which action u_i should be selected to collect the "best" observations Z_t ?

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Closed-loop sensor management: principle

- U_t : pool of available *actions* at time t
- $u_i \in U_t$: describes a specific sensor (i.e. $\ell_{u_i}, p_{d,u_i}, p_{fa,u_i}, \mathbf{Z}_{u_i}$)
- Question: which action u_i should be selected to collect the "best" observations Z_t ?



æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Objective

The operator has access to the predicted information $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_{t|t-1}}$ and considers some action $u \in U_t$ for the next observation. Can we quantify the expected information gain G_u of action u?

Objective

The operator has access to the predicted information $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_{t|t-1}}$ and considers some action $u \in U_t$ for the next observation. Can we quantify the expected information gain G_u of action u?

Outline

1. Suppose that observations $Z \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_u$ are collected. How does the updated information $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_t}(\cdot|Z)$ look like?

Objective

The operator has access to the predicted information $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_{t|t-1}}$ and considers some action $u \in U_t$ for the next observation. Can we quantify the expected information gain G_u of action u?

Outline

- 1. Suppose that observations $Z \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_u$ are collected. How does the updated information $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_t}(\cdot|Z)$ look like?
- 2. How much does the operator learn from $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_t|_{t-1}}$ to $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_t}(\cdot|Z)$?

(ロ) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Objective

The operator has access to the predicted information $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_{t|t-1}}$ and considers some action $u \in U_t$ for the next observation. Can we quantify the expected information gain G_u of action u?

Outline

- 1. Suppose that observations $Z \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_u$ are collected. How does the updated information $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_t}(\cdot|Z)$ look like?
- 2. How much does the operator learn from $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_{t|t-1}}$ to $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_{t}}(\cdot|Z)$?
- 3. How much can he expect to learn from $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_{t|t-1}}$ if he chooses action u?

Objective

The operator has access to the predicted information $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_{t|t-1}}$ and considers some action $u \in U_t$ for the next observation. Can we quantify the expected information gain G_u of action u?

Outline

- 1. Suppose that observations $Z \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_u$ are collected. How does the updated information $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_t}(\cdot|Z)$ look like?
- 2. How much does the operator learn from $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_t|_{t-1}}$ to $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_t}(\cdot|Z)$?
- 3. How much can he expect to learn from $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_{t|t-1}}$ if he chooses action u?



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Incoming targets (indistinguishable)

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・・

Incoming targets (indistinguishable)

• Per assumption, a *single* population describe *all* the incoming targets

Incoming targets (indistinguishable)

- Per assumption, a *single* population describe *all* the incoming targets
- All individuals are described by a unique spatial distribution $p_t^{\rm a}$

Incoming targets (indistinguishable)

- Per assumption, a *single* population describe *all* the incoming targets
- All individuals are described by a unique spatial distribution $p_t^{\rm a}$

Previously detected targets (distinguishable)

Delande (H-W U)

Incoming targets (indistinguishable)

- Per assumption, a *single* population describe *all* the incoming targets
- All individuals are described by a unique spatial distribution $p_t^{\rm a}$

Previously detected targets (distinguishable)

 $\bullet\,$ Per construction, all possible tracks are maintained in the set $Y_{t|t-1}$

Incoming targets (indistinguishable)

- Per assumption, a *single* population describe *all* the incoming targets
- All individuals are described by a unique spatial distribution $p_t^{\rm a}$

Previously detected targets (distinguishable)

- Per construction, all possible tracks are maintained in the set $Y_{t|t-1}$
- Each track $y \in Y_{t|t-1}$ has its *own* spatial distribution $p_{t|t-1}^y$

Incoming targets (indistinguishable)

- Per assumption, a *single* population describe *all* the incoming targets
- All individuals are described by a unique spatial distribution $p_t^{\rm a}$

Previously detected targets (distinguishable)

- Per construction, all possible tracks are maintained in the set $Y_{t|t-1}$
- Each track $y \in Y_{t|t-1}$ has its *own* spatial distribution $p_{t|t-1}^y$

Joint probability of existence $P_{t|t-1}$

Delande (H-W U)

Stochastic populations

July 21, 2015 29 / 35
First things first... what does the operator know beforehand, i.e. what does $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_{t|t-1}}$ look like?

Incoming targets (indistinguishable)

- Per assumption, a *single* population describe *all* the incoming targets
- All individuals are described by a unique spatial distribution $p_t^{\rm a}$

Previously detected targets (distinguishable)

- Per construction, all possible tracks are maintained in the set $Y_{t|t-1}$
- Each track $y \in Y_{t|t-1}$ has its *own* spatial distribution $p_{t|t-1}^y$

Joint probability of existence $P_{t|t-1}$

• $P_{t|t-1}(Y, n)$: how likely is the configuration with tracks $y \in Y$ and n incoming targets?

First things first... what does the operator know beforehand, i.e. what does $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_{t|t-1}}$ look like?

Incoming targets (indistinguishable)

- Per assumption, a *single* population describe *all* the incoming targets
- All individuals are described by a unique spatial distribution $p_t^{\rm a}$

Previously detected targets (distinguishable)

- Per construction, all possible tracks are maintained in the set $Y_{t|t-1}$
- Each track $y \in Y_{t|t-1}$ has its *own* spatial distribution $p_{t|t-1}^y$

Joint probability of existence $P_{t|t-1}$

• $P_{t|t-1}(Y, n)$: how likely is the configuration with tracks $y \in Y$ and n incoming targets?

•
$$\sum_{Y \subseteq Y_{t|t-1}} \sum_{n \ge 0} P_{t|t-1}(Y, n) = 1$$

Are all the subsets of tracks $Y \subseteq Y_{t|t-1}$ worth considering?

Are all the subsets of tracks $Y \subseteq Y_{t|t-1}$ worth considering?



・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト・

Are all the subsets of tracks $Y \subseteq Y_{t|t-1}$ worth considering?



 $\rightarrow \emptyset, \, \{y\}, \, \{y'\}, \, \{y''\}, \, \{y,y'\}, \, \{y',y''\}$ OK

Delande (H-W U)

Stochastic populations

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Are all the subsets of tracks $Y \subseteq Y_{t|t-1}$ worth considering?



$$\begin{split} &\to \emptyset, \, \{y\}, \, \{y'\}, \, \{y''\}, \, \{y,y'\}, \, \{y',y''\} \text{ OK} \\ &\to \{y,y''\}, \, \{y,y',y''\} \text{ not OK} \end{split}$$

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・・

Are all the subsets of tracks $Y \subseteq Y_{t|t-1}$ worth considering?



$$\begin{split} &\to \emptyset, \, \{y\}, \, \{y'\}, \, \{y''\}, \, \{y,y'\}, \, \{y',y''\} \text{ OK} \\ &\to \{y,y''\}, \, \{y,y',y''\} \text{ not OK} \end{split}$$

Set of hypotheses $H_{t|t-1}$

Delande (H-W U)

Stochastic populations

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Are all the subsets of tracks $Y \subseteq Y_{t|t-1}$ worth considering?



 $\rightarrow \{y, y''\}, \{y, y', y''\} \text{ not OK}$

Set of hypotheses $H_{t|t-1}$

• The hypotheses $h \subseteq Y_{t|t-1}$ are the subsets of *compatible* tracks

・ロト ・日ト・ ・日ト

Are all the subsets of tracks $Y \subseteq Y_{t|t-1}$ worth considering?



$$\begin{split} &\to \emptyset, \, \{y\}, \, \{y'\}, \, \{y''\}, \, \{y,y'\}, \, \{y',y''\} \text{ OK} \\ &\to \{y,y''\}, \, \{y,y',y''\} \text{ not OK} \end{split}$$

Set of hypotheses $H_{t|t-1}$

• The hypotheses $h \subseteq Y_{t|t-1}$ are the subsets of *compatible* tracks

•
$$\sum_{Y \subseteq Y_{t|t-1}} \sum_{n \ge 0} P_{t|t-1}(Y, n) = 1 \rightarrow \sum_{h \in H_{t|t-1}} \sum_{n \ge 0} P_{t|t-1}(h, n) = 1$$

・ロト ・日ト・ ・日ト

æ

・ロト ・回ト ・モト ・モト

Data association

Given a possible configuration $(h \in H_{t|t-1}, n \in \mathbb{N})$ of the target population, what are the possible associations with the collected observations Z?

Delande (H	-W U)
------------	------	---

Data association

Given a possible configuration $(h \in H_{t|t-1}, n \in \mathbb{N})$ of the target population, what are the possible associations with the collected observations Z?



		< □ >	< ₫ >	<.≣>	◆≣≯	æ	৩৫৫
Delande (H-W U)	Stochastic populations			July	21, 2015		31 / 35

Data association

Given a possible configuration $(h \in H_{t|t-1}, n \in \mathbb{N})$ of the target population, what are the possible associations with the collected observations Z?



Each association $\mathbf{a} = (h, n, \mathbf{h} \in \operatorname{Adm}_{Z_t}(h, n))$ leads to a unique hyp. $\hat{h} \in H_t$:

- Assessed by prob. $P_u^{\mathbf{a}}$ (i.e. how likely is the association producing \hat{h} ?)
- Composed of tracks $\hat{h} = \bigcup_{y \in h_d} \{y : \nu(y)\} \cup \bigcup_{y \in h \setminus h_d} \{y : \phi\} \cup \bigcup_{z \in Z_a} \{a : z\}$

Rényi divergence

Delande (H-W U)

Stochastic populations

July 21, 2015 32 / 35

・ロン ・日ン ・ヨン・

Rényi divergence

• Suppose track y has been updated with observation $z \in Z \cup \{\phi\}$



・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト・

Rényi divergence

- Suppose track y has been updated with observation $z \in Z \cup \{\phi\}$
- What have we learnt from $p_{t|t-1}^{y}$ to $p_{u}^{y:z}$?

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト・

Rényi divergence

- Suppose track y has been updated with observation $z \in Z \cup \{\phi\}$
- What have we learnt from $p_{t|t-1}^{y}$ to $p_{u}^{y:z}$?
- We define $G_u^{y:z} = \frac{1}{\alpha 1} \log \left[\int \left[p_{t|t-1}^y(x) \right]^{\alpha} \left[p_u^{y:z}(x) \right]^{1-\alpha} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right]$

Rényi divergence

- Suppose track y has been updated with observation $z \in Z \cup \{\phi\}$
- What have we learnt from $p_{t|t-1}^{y}$ to $p_{u}^{y:z}$?
- We define $G_u^{y:z} = \frac{1}{\alpha 1} \log \left[\int \left[p_{t|t-1}^y(x) \right]^{\alpha} \left[p_u^{y:z}(x) \right]^{1-\alpha} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right]$
- The track gain $G_u^{y:z}$ is non-negative, and equals zero iff:

Rényi divergence

- Suppose track y has been updated with observation $z \in Z \cup \{\phi\}$
- What have we learnt from $p_{t|t-1}^{y}$ to $p_{u}^{y:z}$?
- We define $G_u^{y:z} = \frac{1}{\alpha 1} \log \left[\int \left[p_{t|t-1}^y(x) \right]^{\alpha} \left[p_u^{y:z}(x) \right]^{1-\alpha} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right]$
- The track gain $G_u^{y:z}$ is non-negative, and equals zero iff: $p_{t|t-1}^y = p_u^{y:z}$ on **X** (i.e. nothing learnt on target localization)

Rényi divergence

- Suppose track y has been updated with observation $z \in Z \cup \{\phi\}$
- What have we learnt from $p_{t|t-1}^{y}$ to $p_{u}^{y:z}$?
- We define $G_u^{y:z} = \frac{1}{\alpha 1} \log \left[\int \left[p_{t|t-1}^y(x) \right]^{\alpha} \left[p_u^{y:z}(x) \right]^{1-\alpha} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right]$
- The track gain $G_u^{y:z}$ is non-negative, and equals zero iff: $p_{t|t-1}^y = p_u^{y:z}$ on **X** (i.e. nothing learnt on target localization), and

Rényi divergence

- Suppose track y has been updated with observation $z \in Z \cup \{\phi\}$
- What have we learnt from $p_{t|t-1}^{y}$ to $p_{u}^{y:z}$?
- We define $G_u^{y:z} = \frac{1}{\alpha 1} \log \left[\int \left[p_{t|t-1}^y(x) \right]^{\alpha} \left[p_u^{y:z}(x) \right]^{1-\alpha} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right]$
- The track gain $G_u^{y:z}$ is non-negative, and equals zero iff: $p_{t|t-1}^y = p_u^{y:z}$ on **X** (i.e. nothing learnt on target localization), and $p_{t|t-1}^y(\psi) = p_u^{y:z}(\psi)$ (i.e. nothing learnt on target presence)

-

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・・

What is the expected gain from action u, given Z?

・ロト ・日ト・ ・ヨト

What is the expected gain from action u, given Z?

1. Gain from y to y:z:
$$G_u^{y:z} = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \left[\int \left[p_{t|t-1}^y(x) \right]^{\alpha} \left[p_u^{y:z}(x) \right]^{1-\alpha} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right]$$

・ロト ・日ト・ ・ヨト

What is the expected gain from action u, given Z?

- 1. Gain from y to y:z: $G_u^{y:z} = \frac{1}{\alpha 1} \log \left[\int \left[p_{t|t-1}^y(x) \right]^{\alpha} \left[p_u^{y:z}(x) \right]^{1-\alpha} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right]$
- 2. Gain from (h, n) to \hat{h} : $G_u^{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{y \in h_d} G_u^{y:\nu(y)} + \sum_{y \in h \setminus h_d} G_u^{y:\phi} + \sum_{z \in Z_a} G_u^{\mathbf{a}:z}$

・ロン ・日ン ・ヨン・

What is the expected gain from action u, given Z?

- 1. Gain from y to y:z: $G_u^{y:z} = \frac{1}{\alpha 1} \log \left[\int \left[p_{t|t-1}^y(x) \right]^\alpha \left[p_u^{y:z}(x) \right]^{1-\alpha} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right]$
- 2. Gain from (h, n) to \hat{h} : $G_u^{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{y \in h_d} G_u^{y:\nu(y)} + \sum_{y \in h \setminus h_d} G_u^{y:\phi} + \sum_{z \in Z_a} G_u^{a:z}$
- 3. Expected gain from (h, n), given Z: $G_u^{h,n}(\cdot|Z) = \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \operatorname{Adm}_Z(h,n)} P_u^{\mathbf{a}} G_u^{\mathbf{a}}$

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

What is the expected gain from action u, given Z?

- 1. Gain from y to y:z: $G_u^{y:z} = \frac{1}{\alpha 1} \log \left[\int \left[p_t^y(x) \right]^{\alpha} \left[p_u^{y:z}(x) \right]^{1-\alpha} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right]$
- 2. Gain from (h, n) to \hat{h} : $G_u^{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{y \in h_d} G_u^{y:\nu(y)} + \sum_{y \in h \setminus h_d} G_u^{y:\phi} + \sum_{z \in Z_a} G_u^{a:z}$
- 3. Expected gain from (h, n), given Z: $G_u^{h,n}(\cdot|Z) = \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \operatorname{Adm}_Z(h,n)} P_u^{\mathbf{a}} G_u^{\mathbf{a}}$
- 4. Expected gain from $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_{t|t-1}}$, given Z: $G_u(\cdot|Z) = \sum_{h \in H_{t|t-1}} \sum_{n \ge 0} P_{t|t-1}(h, n) G_u^{h, n}(\cdot|Z)$

What is the expected gain from action u, given Z?

- 1. Gain from y to y:z: $G_u^{y:z} = \frac{1}{\alpha 1} \log \left[\int \left[p_{t|t-1}^y(x) \right]^\alpha \left[p_u^{y:z}(x) \right]^{1-\alpha} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right]$
- 2. Gain from (h, n) to \hat{h} : $G_u^{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{y \in h_d} G_u^{y:\nu(y)} + \sum_{y \in h \setminus h_d} G_u^{y:\phi} + \sum_{z \in Z_a} G_u^{a:z}$
- 3. Expected gain from (h, n), given Z: $G_u^{h,n}(\cdot|Z) = \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \operatorname{Adm}_Z(h,n)} P_u^{\mathbf{a}} G_u^{\mathbf{a}}$
- 4. Expected gain from $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_{t|t-1}}$, given Z: $G_u(\cdot|Z) = \sum_{h \in H_{t|t-1}} \sum_{n \ge 0} P_{t|t-1}(h, n) G_u^{h, n}(\cdot|Z)$

What is the expected gain from action u?

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

What is the expected gain from action u, given Z?

- 1. Gain from y to y:z: $G_u^{y:z} = \frac{1}{\alpha 1} \log \left[\int \left[p_t^y(x) \right]^{\alpha} \left[p_u^{y:z}(x) \right]^{1-\alpha} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right]$
- 2. Gain from (h, n) to \hat{h} : $G_u^{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{y \in h_d} G_u^{y:\nu(y)} + \sum_{y \in h \setminus h_d} G_u^{y:\phi} + \sum_{z \in Z_a} G_u^{a:z}$
- 3. Expected gain from (h, n), given Z: $G_u^{h,n}(\cdot|Z) = \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \operatorname{Adm}_Z(h,n)} P_u^{\mathbf{a}} G_u^{\mathbf{a}}$
- 4. Expected gain from $P_{\mathfrak{Y}_{t|t-1}}$, given Z: $G_u(\cdot|Z) = \sum_{h \in H_{t|t-1}} \sum_{n \ge 0} P_{t|t-1}(h, n) G_u^{h, n}(\cdot|Z)$

What is the expected gain from action u?

1. Expected gain from
$$P_{\mathfrak{Y}_{t|t-1}}$$
: $G_u = \sum_{Z \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_u} G_u(\cdot|Z)$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

・ロン ・日ン ・ヨン・

Information gain G_u global by nature, but core element is *track*-based Rényi divergence

$$G_u^{y:z} = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \left[\int \left[p_{t|t-1}^y(x) \right]^\alpha \left[p_u^{y:z}(x) \right]^{1-\alpha} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right]$$

Information gain ${\cal G}_u$ global by nature, but core element is $\mathit{track}\text{-}\mathsf{based}$ Rényi divergence

$$G_u^{y:z} = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \left[\int \left[p_{t|t-1}^y(x) \right]^\alpha \left[p_u^{y:z}(x) \right]^{1-\alpha} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right]$$

Elementary changes in the divergence operator allow emphasis on specific regions of the target state space and/or specific tracks, e.g.

Information gain ${\cal G}_u$ global by nature, but core element is $\mathit{track}\text{-}\mathsf{based}$ Rényi divergence

$$G_u^{y:z} = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \left[\int \left[p_{t|t-1}^y(x) \right]^\alpha \left[p_u^{y:z}(x) \right]^{1-\alpha} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right]$$

Elementary changes in the divergence operator allow emphasis on specific regions of the target state space and/or specific tracks, e.g.



Exclusion of *regions* from decision policy

A B +
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

July 21, 2015 34 / 35

Information gain ${\cal G}_u$ global by nature, but core element is $\mathit{track}\text{-}\mathsf{based}$ Rényi divergence

$$G_u^{y:z} = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \left[\int \left[p_{t|t-1}^y(x) \right]^\alpha \left[p_u^{y:z}(x) \right]^{1-\alpha} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right]$$

Elementary changes in the divergence operator allow emphasis on specific regions of the target state space and/or specific tracks, e.g.



Thank you for your attention!

æ